# Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan 

## Appendix A - Planning Participants

## 1. Dane County Steering Committee

The Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Steering Committee consisted of people with broad expertise from different backgrounds.

| Name | Department / Agency |
| :--- | :--- |
| Theola Carter | Manager for Policy \& Program Improvement - Office for Equity \& Inclusion |
| Kathy Kuntz | Director - Office of Energy \& Climate Change |
| Lisa MacKinnon | Sustainability \& Program Evaluation Coordinator -Board of Supervisors |
| John Reimer | Asst. Director - Land \& Water Resources |
| Mike Rupiper | Deputy Director - Capital Area Regional Plan Commission |
| Brian Standing | Sr. Planner - Planning \& Development |
| Todd Violante | Director - Planning \& Development |
| Sara Husen | Emergency Management - Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Project Assistant and <br> University of Wisconsin, Madison Department of Urban and Regional Planning <br> graduate student |
| J. McLellan | Emergency Management - Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Project Lead |

## 2. Dane County Planning Team

Dane County Planning Team members were Dane County Department heads and/or their appointed department representatives. All Dane County Departments were represented.

| Name | Position | Department / Agency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dan Lowndes | Risk Manager | DC Administration |
| Brent McHenry | Director | DC Alliant Energy Center |
| Analiese Eicher | Chair | DC Board of Supervisors |
| Rachel Rodriguez |  | DC Clerk |
| Carlo Esqueda | Clerk (Director) | DC Clerk of Courts |
| Eve Dorman |  <br> Planning | DC Corporation Counsel |


| Name | Position | Department / Agency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joseph Darcangelo | Deputy Director | DC Henry Villas Zoo |
| Astra Iheukumere | Deputy Director | DC Human Services |
| John Reimer | Assistant Director | DC Land \& Water Resources |
| Fred Lausly | Director | DC Land Information Office |
| Theola Carter | Manager of Policy \& Program Improvement | DC Ofc. for Equity \& Inclusion |
| Kathy Kuntz | Director | DC Ofc. of Energy \& Climate Change |
| Brian Standing | Senior Planner | DC Planning \& Development |
| Todd Violante | Director | DC Planning \& Development |
| Kevin Fosso | Deputy Director | DC Public Safety Communications |
| Luis Bixler | Director | DC Public Safety Communications |
| Tim Pelton | Ops Superintendent | DCPWHT |
| Jerry Mandli | Director / Highway Commissioner | DCPWHT |
| Matthew Powers | Operations Supervisor | DC Regional Airport |
| Michael Stephens | Assistant Director | DC Regional Airport |
| Brian Hayes | Lieutenant | DC Sheriff's OFC |
| John Welch | Director | DC Waste \& Renewables |
| Roxanne Wienkes | Deputy Director | DC Waste \& Renewables |
| Mike Rupiper | Deputy Director | NGO - Capital Area Regional Plan Commission |
| Bill Schaefer | Planning Manager | NGO - Mad Metro Planning Org (MPO) |
| Bill Holloway | Transportation Planner | NGO - Mad Metro Planning Org (MPO) |
| John Hausbeck | Enviro Health Services Supervisor | Public Health MDC |
| Donna Bradley | MNGR - Customer Ops | Utility - Alliant Energy |
| Eric Dundee | Director - Wastewater Operations | Utility - Mad MetroSewer Dist. |
| Dave Blankenhiem | Dir - Elec Distro Strategic Plan | Utility - MG\&E |
| Steve Vavrus | Co-Director | UW - WI Initiative on Climate Change Impacts |
| Michelle Probst | Nat Resources Ext. Coordinator | UW Extension Office |

## 3. Municipal Steering Committee Leadership

All participating municipalities identified primary and secondary points of contact for developing / updating their natural hazard mitigation plans. These points of contact were responsible for facilitating their local mitigation steering committee's planning efforts.

| Municipality | Name | Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City |  |  |
| City of Edgerton | Ramona Flanigan | City Admi nistrator |
| City of Edgerton | Howard Moser | Municipal Services Dir. |
| City of Fitchburg | Joe Pulvermacher | Fire Chief/Emergency Ma nagement Director |
| City of Fitchburg | Chad Brecklin | City Admi nistrator |
| City of Madison | Edwin Ruckriegel | Fire Marshal/Emergency Management Coordinator |
| City of Madison | Greg Fries | Deputy City Engineer |
| City of Middleton | Mike Davis | City Admi nistrator |
| City of Middleton | Bill Burns | Assistant City Administrator |
| City of Monona | Bryan Gadow | City Admi nistrator |
| City of Monona | Jeremy McMul len | Fire/EMS Chief |
| City of Sun Prairie | Mark Mlekush | Fire Marshal/Emergency Management Coordinator |
| City of Sun Prairie | Michael Steffes | Chief of Police |
| City of Verona | Bernie Coughlin | Chief of Police |
| City of Verona | Dave Dresser | Police Lieutenant Dave Dresser |
| Town |  |  |
| Town of Cottage Grove | Mike Fonger | Town Supervisor/Emergency Director |
| Town of Cottage Grove | Dan Dresen | Highway Superintendent |
| Town of Dunn | Cathy Hasslinger | Clerk Treasurer / Business Manager |
| Town of Dunn | Kelsey Shepperd | Land Use Assistant |
| Town of Oregon | Wayne Ace | Town Chairman |
| Town of Oregon | Jennifer Hanson | Clerk |
| Town of Springdale | Jackie Arthur | Admi nistrator / Clerk |
| Town of Springdale | Maggie Milcarek | Deputy Clerk |
| Town of Springfield | Dianah Fayes | Town Clerk |
| Town of Springfield | Art Meinholz | Town Board Supervisorlii |
| Town of Vermont | Karen Carlock | Municipal Chair |
| Town of Vermont | KatieZelle | Municipal Clerk |
| Town of Verona | W.Christopher Barnes | Public Works Director |
| Town of Verona | Sarah Gaskell | Admi nistrator / Planner |
| Town of Westport | Thomas Wilson | Attorney/Admin/Clerk/Treasurer |
| Town of Westport | Jessica Duffrin | Executive Assistant |


| Municipality | Name | Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Village |  |  |
| Village of Belleville | Dale Yurs | Village President |
| Village of Belleville | Gary Ziegler | Trustee/ Emergency Management Chair |
| Village of Black Earth | Shellie Benishi | Village Administrator/Clerk/Treasure |
| Village of Black Earth | Mitch Hudson | Village President |
| Village of Blue Mounds | Mary Jo Michek | Clerk/Treasure |
| Village of Blue Mounds | Joe Hoops | Police Chief |
| Village of Brooklyn | Leif Spilde | Emergency Management Dir. |
| Village of Brooklyn | Linda Kuhlman | Village Clerk |
| Village of Cottage Grove | Matthew Wagner | Lieutenant |
| Village of Cottage Grove | J.J. Larson | Deputy Village Admi nistrator |
| Village of Cross Plains | Bill Chang | Village Administrator |
| Village of Cross Plains | Jerry Gray | Director of Public Facilities |
| Village of Dane | Shane Clapper | Public Works Director |
| Village of Dane | Chad Bevars | Public Works Operator |
| Village of Deforest | Nick Johnson | Assistant Planner |
| Village of Deforest | James Olson | Chief of Police |
| Village of Marshall | John Nault | Police Chief |
| Village of Marshall | Judy Weter | Admi inistrator |
| Village of Mazomanie | Peter Hoebner | Admi nistrator |
| Village of Mazomanie | Mark Geisler | Director of Public Works/Fire Chief |
| Village of McFarland | Kong Pheng Thao | Pla nning and Zoning Assista nt |
| Village of McFarland | Matt Schuenke | Village Administrator |
| Village of Mt. Horeb | Doug Vierck | Chief of Police |
| Village of Mt. Horeb | Nicholas Owen | Admi nistrator |
| Village of Shorewood Hills | Jeffrey Pharo | Chief of Police |
| Village of Shorewood Hills | David Sykes | Admi nistrative Services Ma nager/Deputy Clerk |
| Village of Waunakee | Scott Russel | EM Director |
| Village of Waunakee | Caitlin Stene | Deputy Administrator/Village Clerk |
| Village of Windsor | Christine Capstran | Vill age Clerk |
| Village of Windsor | Davis Clark | Director of Public Works |

## 4. Neighboring Communities

Because this is a multi-jurisdictional plan within the confines of Dane County, communities are already working with each other developing their mitigation plans.

# Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan 

## Appendix B - Public Input

## 1. County Level

Public engagement for County level processes occurred both virtually and in person. DRAFT mitigation strategies were posted on a website where citizens could submit their comments by email. Citizens could attend a presentation on natural hazard mitigation planning and the DRAFT mitigation strategies either remotely or in-person. Documentation for these opportunities is listed below.

### 1.1. Media Release

## , 21.1222 m

## Public Invited to Attend Listening Sessions on Natural Hazards in Dane County

November 24, 2021
Ariana Vruwink, 608-267-8823
County Executive
Today, Dane County Executive Joe Parisi announced that Dane County will convene listening sessions to give people an opportunity to discuss concerns about natural hazards and disasters. Dane County is in the process of updating its Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan and is inviting public involvement and input. Local governments, businesses, and the public are encouraged to attend. On average, each dollar spent on mitigation saves society an average of $\$ 6$ in avoided future losses, in addition to saving lives and preventing injuries.

Most people who live or work in Dane County have been affected by natural hazards in one way or another. Dane County residents are vulnerable to a variety of hazards including extreme temperatures, severe winter weather, tornadoes, and floods. These extreme weather events are occurring more frequently, often with disastrous results. The inclusion of climate change factors in the hazard analysis will ensure Dane County identifies appropriate steps that can protect residents personal and economic safety through mitigation efforts.
"Extreme weather events can strike at a moment's notice. The better we prepare for disasters before they occur, the more we can reduce potential damage and risk to our residents," said Dane County Executive Joe Parisi. "Long droughts, historic rains, and sweltering heat are becoming all too familiar occurrences that negatively affect Dane County residents' economic well-being and personal safety. As our changing climate results in a growing number of extreme weather events, it's important for us to assess our community's vulnerabilities and adapt."

Torrential rains and tornadoes cannot be prevented from occurring. Planning for natural hazards and implementing mitigation measures, however, can reduce the impact of such events when they do occur. Emergency response and recovery costs can be reduced. Property damage and monetary losses can be reduced. Personal injury and loss of life can be reduced. The economic and social impact on the community as a whole can be reduced

The Dane County Department of Emergency Management will facilitate the public listening sessions to solicit the public's input on draft mitigation strategies to update the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. "These listening sessions give residents the opportunity to provide their input directly to staff about Dane County's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. We encourage people to attend, ask questions, and express their concerns so we can work to develop more comprehensive countywide hazard mitigation strategies," said Dane County Emergency Management Director Charles Tubbs.

The public listening sessions will be held

- Tuesday, November 30 from 1:00PM to 3:00PM (Online via Zoom) Registration is required: https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_xIsInVnkTUK55Safg3qTOg
- Tuesday, November 30 from 6:30PM to 8:30PM (Online via Zoom)

Registration is required: https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN WjGvC1IEO6eY6nrolJvr40

- Wednesday, December 1 from 10:00AM to 12:00PM

Located at The Village on Park Community Room
2300 S. Park St., Madison, WI 53713

```
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### 1.2. Newsletter Announcement



## 2021 Climate Champions

## - Tuesday, Nov. $30-1-3 \mathrm{pm}$ - Virtual - Registration Link

- Tuesday, Nov. $30-6: 30-8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ - Virtual_=_Registration Link
- Wednesday, Dec. 1 - 10a-12p - In Person - The Village on Park Community Room - 2300 S. Park, Madison, WI 53713


## COVID Winter: An Active Living Play Book for Wisconsin

Last year, 1000 Friends of Wisconsin published "COVID Winter: An Active Living
'Play' Book for Wisconsin." This year, the Play Book is being updated to include more resources and guidance for winter placemaking, adding new ideas for activities, and featuring photos and success stories from the Active Wisconsin

## 2023 Hazard Mitigation Plan Listening Sessions



Dane County and about 30 participating municipalities are in the process of creating a five-year Natural Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan. All participating local governments are seeking input from the public on their draft hazard mitigation plans. Critically, these plans will address issues related to climate change; listening sessions are being scheduled through late November. Review your community's plan and provide your input!

In addition to reviewing the plan for your municipality, you can review the countywide plan and provide input via the website or at any of the following listening sessions:

### 1.3. Social Media Postings





### 1.4. Local Newspaper / Website





### 1.5. Signage in Public Spaces

This sign was posted on public notice board on the first floor of the City County Building, Dane County's administrative headquarters.

## 2023 DC Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

## Seeking public comment on DRAFT

 Natural Hazard Mitigation StrategiesDane County Emergency Management is updating the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The plan includes strategies and actions Dane County will take to reduce the risks to residents from natural hazards. As part of the update process, Dane County Emergency Management is hosting several listening sessions to solicit the public's input on the DRAFT mitigation strategies to update the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The DRAFT Mitigation Strategies can be seen at https://em.countyofdane.com/County-Draft-Mitigation-Strategies

The listening sessions will occur:

- TU November 30-1-3pm - On-line (ZOOM) - registration required https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN xIslnVnkTUK55Safg3qTOg -

- TU November 30-6:30-8:30pm - On-line (ZOOM) - registration required https://zoom.us/webinar/register/WN WiGvC1IEQ6eY6nrolJvr4Q-

- We December 1 - 10a-12p - In person at The Village on Park Community Room, 2300 S. Park St., Madison, WI 53713

The current version of Dane County's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan can be found at https://em.countyofdane.com/hazards/mitigation-plan -





## 2. Municipal Level

Municipalities were responsible for providing at least two opportunities for the public to comment on their hazard mitigation efforts. Dane County provided one opportunity for public input by posting DRAFT municipal mitigation strategies and associated contact information on a web page visible to the public. The majority of municipalities sought additional input as part of standing local council meetings; all meetings followed Wisconsin public meetings law.
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## Appendix C - Adoption Records
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## Dane County Resolution

2022 RES-134

ADOPTING THE 2022 UPDATE OF THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

Dane County is subject to numerous natural hazards such as winter storms, extreme temperatures, tornadoes, and flooding. The problems resulting from these events range from nuisance occurrences to major damages impacting public facilities, private property owners, and residents' lives. Dane County has received federal disaster declarations on sixteen occasions since 1976. The resulting losses from these events can be measured in the tens of millions of dollars.

A natural hazard mitigation plan can identify initiatives and projects that will eliminate or reduce damages caused by natural hazards. This is the third update of Dane County's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The first version of the plan was adopted in May 2005, with updates adopted in May 2010 and September 2017. The 2022 update of Dane County's Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan identifies and justifies a number of proposed projects and programs to mitigate the vulnerability of Dane County to the impacts of future disasters.

In this update cycle, effects from climate change and differences in equity were factors included when examining risks from—and developing strategies to address-natural hazards. The inclusion of climate change effects as a factor influencing risk demonstrates that Dane County recognizes climate change is affecting our community. The inclusion of equity as a factor to determine a hazard's impacts ensures Dane County identifies mitigation practices that minimize disparate impacts and benefit all county residents.

The Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a natural hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for future pre-disaster and post-disaster federal funding for mitigation purposes. This plan will enable Dane County to apply for grants to implement eligible projects and programs identified in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Dane County hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Dane County will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle.


## Dane County

## Signature Copy

Resolution: 2022 RES-134

File Number: 2022 RES-134

## ADOPTING THE 2022 UPDATE OF THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION

 PLANAdopted by the County Board on September 22, 2022.


County Executive


Date $9-27-22$


## Dane County

## Legislation Details (With Text)

| File \#: | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \text { RES- } \\ & 134 \end{aligned}$ | Version: 1 | Name: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type: | Resolution |  | Status: | Passed |
| File created: | 7/26/2022 |  | In control: | County Board |
| On agenda: |  |  | Final action: | 9/27/2022 |
| Title: | ADOPTING | 2022 UPDA | OF THE DAN | COUNTY NATU |
| Sponsors: | RICHELLE | DRAE |  |  |

Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. 2022 RES-134, 2. 2022 RES-134 SIGNED COPY

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 / 27 / 2022$ | 1 | County Executive | signed |  |
| $9 / 22 / 2022$ | 1 | County Board | adopted | Pass |
| $9 / 13 / 2022$ | 1 | Public Protection \& Judiciary | recommended for approval | Pass |
| $7 / 22 / 2022$ | 1 | County Board | referred |  |

ADOPTING THE 2022 UPDATE OF THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN
Dane County Page 1 of $1 \quad$ Printed on 10/28/2022

# City of Edgerton Resolution Number 15-22 <br> <br> Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 <br> <br> Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 <br> <br> Update 

 <br> <br> Update}

WHEREAS the City of Edgerton recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the City of Edgerton recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the City of Edgerton has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the City of Edgerton has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Edgerton to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The City of Edgerton hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The City of Edgerton will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the City of Edgerton Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Edgerton Common Council; and
3. On behalf of the City of Edgerton, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin limergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

This resolution was adopted August 15, 2022.

Motion By: Casey Langan
Second By: Jim Burdick
Roll Call: Yeas 6 Noes -0-
Dated the $15^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2022


Wendy Loveland, Clerk

| $\frac{\text { Mayor Richardson }}{\text { Introduced by }}$ | $\frac{\text { Fire Department }}{\text { Prepared by }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Common Council | $\frac{\text { August 9, 2022 }}{\text { Date }}$ |

## RESOLUTION R-147-22

## ADOPTING THE CITY OF FITCHBURG ANNEX TO THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Fitchburg recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, the City of Fitchburg Common Council recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and

WHEREAS, the City of Fitchburg has been an active participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for future pre-disaster and post-disaster federal funding for mitigation purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Fitchburg has identified the need to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Fitchburg to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the City of Fitchburg Annex to the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

NOW BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, that,

1. The City of Fitchburg hereby proposes to accept and approve the City of Fitchburg Annex to the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The City of Fitchburg will continue to participate with Dane County in updating and revising the County's Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
3. On behalf of City of Fitchburg, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Fitchburg Common Council that it hereby approves this plan.

Adopted this $23^{\text {rd }}$ day of August, 2022.


Aaron Richardson, Mayor


|  | City of Madison $\begin{gathered}\text { City of Madison } \begin{array}{c}\text { Madison, W1 53703 } \\ \text { www.cityofmadison.com }\end{array} \\ \text { Legislation Details (With Text) }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| File \#: | 74320 |  | Version: 1 | Name: | Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update |  |
| Type: | Resolution |  |  | Status: | Passed |  |
| File created: | 10/19/2022 |  |  | In control: | Fire Department |  |
| On agenda: | 11/1/2022 |  |  | Final action: | 11/1/2022 |  |
| Enactment date: | 11/4/2022 |  |  | Enactment \#: | RES-22-00768 |  |
| Title: | Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sponsors: | Patrick W. Heck |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indexes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code sections: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachments: | 1. Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.pdf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Ver. | Action By |  |  |  | Result |
| 11/1/2022 | 1 | COMMON | COUNCIL |  |  | Pass |
| 10/25/2022 | 1 | COMMON | COUNCIL |  | to a future Meeting to Adopt | Pass |
| 10/19/2022 | 1 | Fire Depa | rtment |  | rred for Introduction |  |

## Fiscal Note

The proposed resolution adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Adopted resolution RES-2200521 (Legistar file 72415) adopted the City of Madison Annex to the Dane County plan. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Wisconsin Emergency Management (WEM) requires the City of Madison to adopt the complete Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Designated staff will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle. This review will be performed with existing resources. No appropriation is required to adopt the plan.

Title
Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Body

WHEREAS, the City of Madison recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community;

WHEREAS, the City of Madison recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community;

WHEREAS, the City of Madison has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards;

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities;

WHEREAS, the City of Madison has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Madison to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan;

File \#: 74320, Version: 1

WHEREAS, adopted resolution RES-22-00521 (Legistar file 72415) adopted the City of Madison Annex to the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan;

WHEREAS, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Wisconsin Emergency Management (WEM) requires the City of Madison to adopt the complete Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, which has been reviewed by Ed Ruckriegel, Emergency Management Coordinator, and the Emergency Planning Staff Team;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the City of Madison hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City of Madison will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Common Council;

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, on behalf of the City of Madison, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

## RESOLUTION 2022-56

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2020 Update

Whereas, the City of Middleton recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, the City of Middleton's Common Council recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and

Whereas, the City of Middleton has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards; and

Whereas, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities; and

Whereas, the City of Middleton has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Middleton to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Common Council of the City of Middleton and Mayor Brar that:

1. The City of Middleton hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The City of Middleton will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the City of Middleton Annex of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the City of Middleton; and
3. On behalf of the City of Middleton, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

This resolution was adopted at a regular meeting of the Middleton Common Council on the 18th day of October 2022.


ATTEST:


Resolution No. 22-8-2584
Monona Common Council

## ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS the City of Monona recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and,
WHEREAS the City of Monona recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and,

WHEREAS the City of Monona has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards; and,

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities; and,

WHEREAS City of Monona has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of City of Monona to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The City of Monona hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and,
2. The City of Monona will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the City of Monona the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the City Council of the City of Monona; and,
3. On behalf of City of Monona, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted this 1st day of August, 2022.

BY ORDER OF THE CITY COUNCIL CITY OF MONONA, WISCONSIN


ATTEST:

Ilene Mouser
City Clerk

[^0]
## City of Sun Prairie, Wisconsin

RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY
NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022
UPDATE

Presented: August 2, 2022

Adopted: August 2, 2022

Resolution No.: 22/ 138

## RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the City of Sun Prairie recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sun Prairie Common Council recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sun Prairie has been an active participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the City of Sun Prairie has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Sun Prairie to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Common Council of the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, hereby approves that,

1. The City of Sun Prairie hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan
2. The City of Sun Prairie will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the City of Sun Prairie to the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Common Council
3. On behalf of the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

APPROVED: $\qquad$

Date Approved: August 2, 2022

This is to certify that the foregoing Resolution was approved by the Common Council of the City of Sun Prairie at a meeting held on the 2nd day of August 2022, and was submitted for signatures on the 3rd day of August 2022.
$\qquad$
Elema Hilly
Elena Hilby, City Clerk

## CITY OF VERONA

RESOLUTION NO. R-22-036

## A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS the City of Verona recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the City of Verona Common Council recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the City of Verona has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the City of Verona has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the City of Verona, to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The City of Verona hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The City of Verona will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the City of Verona portion of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide regular progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the City Council of the City of Verona and
3. On behalf of the City of Verona, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.


Village of Belleville<br>24 W. Main Street<br>P: 608.424.3341<br>P.O. Box 79<br>F: 608.424.3423<br>Belleville, WI 53508 Villageofbelleville.com

## RESOLUTION NO. 2022-10 <br> VILLAGE OF BELLEVILLE

## Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Village of Belleville recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Belleville's Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Belleville has been an active and joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Village of Belleville has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Belleville to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

## BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Belleville hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.
2. The Village of Belleville will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Belleville Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board of the Village of Belleville.
3. On behalf of the Village of Belleville, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.


VILLAGE OF BELLEVILLE


## Village of Black Earth

1210 Mills St. * P.O. Box 347
Black Earth, WI 53515
P: 608-767-2563 F:608-767-2064

## Resolution \# 2022-11

Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update
WHEREAS the Village of Black Earth recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Black Earth's Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Black Earth has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities, and

WHEREAS the Village of Black Earth has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Black Earth to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Black Earth hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Black Earth will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the the Village Board of the Village of Black Earth and;
3. On behalf of Village of Black Earth, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Village Board of the Village of Black Earth, Dane County, State of Wisconsin on this $1^{\text {st }}$ day of November, 2022


Attest:


## VILLAGE OF BLUE MOUNDS RESOLUTION NO. R\#22-07 <br> RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS the Village of Blue Mounds recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Blue Mounds Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Blue Mounds has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Village of Blue Mounds has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Village of Blue Mounds to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Blue Mounds hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Blue Mounds will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Blue Mounds the Dane Countr Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board of the Village of Blue Mounds; and
3. On behalf of Village of Blue Mounds, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted and approved by the Village Board of the Village of Blue Mpunds this $10^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2022.


Audra Anderson, Village President


## VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN <br> RESOLUTION 2022-08 <br> Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Village of Brooklyn recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Brooklyn Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Brooklyn has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS, the Village of Brooklyn has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Brooklyn to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Brooklyn hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Brooklyn will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village of Brooklyn Village Board; and
3. On behalf of the Village of Brooklyn, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved,
Dated: $\qquad$


ATTEST:


Linda Kuhlman, Clerk

Resolution No. 2022-18

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Village of Cottage Grove recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village Board of Trustees recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Cottage Grove has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS The Village of Cottage Grove has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Village of Cottage Grove to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Cottage Grove hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Cottage Grove will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Cottage Grove the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board of Trustee; and
3. On behalf of Village of Cottage $G$ rove, Danc County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Dated this $1^{\text {st }}$ of August 2022.


Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update
Resolution Number 2022-20

WHEREAS the Village of Cross Plains recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Cross Plains Board of Trustees recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Cross Plains has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Village of Cross Plains has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Cross Plains to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Cross Plains hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Cross Plains will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Cross Plains- Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village of Cross Plains Board of Trustees; and
3. On behalf of Village of Cross Plains, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region $V$ officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved,



102 W Main St. - PO Box 168 - Dane, WI 53529-0168
(608) 849-5422• info@villageofdane.org • www.villageofdane.org

Resolution Number 2022-05
Village of Dane
A Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Village of Dane recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Dane Board of Trustees recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Dane has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,
WHEREAS the Village of Dane has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Dane to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Dane hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Dane will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Dane and the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a fiveyear cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Board of Trustees of the Village of Dane and
3. On behalf of the Village of Dane, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted this $1^{\text {st }}$ day of August 2022


Introduced/Approved:
January 10, 2022
Yes: $\qquad$ No: $\varnothing$
ATTEST:
Donald Poster, Village President

## RESOLUTION 2022-060

## A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE VILLAGE OF DEFOREST ANNEX TO THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, the Village of DeForest recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the community; and

WHEREAS, the DeForest Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and

WHEREAS, the Village has been an active participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities; and

WHEREAS, including a public process allowing for community input, the Village has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of DeForest to the impacts of future disasters in the Village of DeForest Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Annex to the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Village's Emergency Preparedness Committee has recommended that the Board adopt the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Village Board ordains that:

1. The Village of DeForest hereby accepts and approves the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A; and
2. Designated Village staff will provide periodic progress reports on the status of implementation of the Annex to the DeForest Village Board or Emergency Preparedness Committee; and
3. The Village of DeForest intends to continue to participate in the review and revision of the Village of DeForest Annex to the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, with plan review and revision expected to occur on a five year cycle; and
4. On behalf of the Village of DeForest, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted at a regular meeting of the Village Board this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of August, 2022.



Vote: $6-0$


Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

Resolution Number 2022-10
WHEREAS the Village of Marshall recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Marshall recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Marshall has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Village of Marshall has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of village of Marshall to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Marshall hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Marshall will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Marshall the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village of Marshall and
3. On behalf of Village of Marshall Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved this $9^{\text {th }}$ day of August 2022,


## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Resolution Number 2022-12

WHEREAS the Village of Mazomanje recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Mazomanie's Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Mazomanie has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS The Village of Mazomanie has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Mazomanie to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Mazomanie hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Mazomanie will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Mazomanie in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board of the Village of Mazomanie; and
3. On behalf of Village of Mazomanie, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted the 9th day of August 2022.
Village of Mazomanie
Dane County, Wisconsin


ATTEST
I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the resolution introduced and adopted by the Village Board of the Village of Mazomanie, Wisconsin on August 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2022$.


## RESOLUTION 2022-22

## RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS the Village of McFarland recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of McFarland recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of McFarland has been an active participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Village of McFarland has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Village of McFarland to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of McFarland hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of McFarland will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of McFarland Annex to the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board; and
3. On behalf of Village of McFarland, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved, the above and foregoing Resolution was duly adopted at a regular meeting of the McFarland Village Board on August 23, 2022.

## APPROVED:



Carolyn A) Clow, Village President

## ATTEST:



Cassandra Suettinger, Village Clerk-Treasurer

## RESOLUTION 2022-09

ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS the Village of Mount Horeb recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Mount Horeb has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Village of Mount Horeb has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Mount Horeb Board of Trustees hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Mount Horeb will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board of Trustees; and
3. On behalf of Village of Mount Horeb, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Introduced and passed this $3^{\text {rd }}$ day of August, 2022.


ATTEST:


## RESOLUTION R-2022-8

Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update
WHEREAS the Village of Shorewood Hills recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Shorewood Hill's Board of Trustees recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Village of Shorewood Hills has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multijurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Village of Shorewood Hills has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilitics of Village of Shorewood Hills to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Shorewood Hills hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Shorewood Hills will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Shorewood Hills the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Board of Trustees; and
3. On behalf of Village of Shorewood Hills, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.



## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Resolution Number R22-18

WHEREAS the Village of Waunakee recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Waunakee Board of Trustees recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and

WHEREAS the Village of Waunakee has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards; and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities;

WHEREAS Village of Waunakee has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Village of Waunakee to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Village of Waunakee hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Waunakee will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Waunakee the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village of Waunakee Board of Trustees; and
3. On behalf of Village of Waunakee, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Adopted this 15th day of August, 2022.


## VILLAGE OF WINDSOR <br> RESOLUTION 2022-66

## ADOPTING THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS, the Village of Windsor recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Windsor recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS, the Village of Windsor has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities, and

WHEREAS, the Village of Windsor has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Village of Windsor to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. The Village of Windsor hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Village of Windsor will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Village of Windsor Annex in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Village Board; and
3. On behalf of the Village of Windsor, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The above and foregoing resolution was duly adopted at a regular meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Windsor, Dane County, Wisconsin, on the $4^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2022 by a vote of 5 in favor D $\qquad$ opposed.

## VILLAGE OF WINDSOR

## Robert E. Wippenfouth

Attested by:

## TOWN OF COTTAGE GROVE

## TOWN BOARD RESOLUTION NO. 2022-08-15

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Town of Cottage Grove recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Cottage Grove Town Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Cottage Grove has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Town of Cottage Grove has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Town of Cottage Grove to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Cottage Grove hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Cottage Grove will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Town of Cottage Grove the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plans with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Town Board; and
3. On behalf of Town of Cottage Grove, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

This resolution was duly considered and adopted by the Town of Cottage Grove Board of Supervisors pursuant to a vote 4 for and 0 against and 0 abstentions on this 15th day of August, 2022.


Kris Hampton, Town Chair


Kim Banigan, Town Clerk

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Resolution Number 2022-6

WHEREAS the Town of Dunn recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Town of Dunn recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Dunn has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Town of Dunn has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Town of Dunn to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Dunn hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Dunn will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Town of Dunn; and
3. On behalf of Town of Dunn, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved,


Steven Greb, Town of Dunn Chair

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Resolution Number 04-2022

WHEREAS the Town of Oregon recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Town of Oregon's town board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Oregon has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Town of Oregon has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Town of Oregon to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Oregon hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Oregon will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Town of Oregon the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the town board of the Town of Oregon; and
3. On behalf of Town of Oregon, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved,
Approved: Tuesday, August 2, 2022


Attest:

https://townoforegon.sharepoint.com/sites/TownofOregon/Shared Documents/Data/Jennifer/My Documents/Resolutions/2022 Resolutions/Resolution 04-2022 2023 DCNHMP - Muni Plan Adoption Resolution Language.docx

## TOWN OF SPRINGDALE <br> DANE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

## RESOLUTION NO. 2022-8-2

## A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2022 UPDATE TO THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN

WHEREAS, the Town of Springdale recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS, the Town recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and;

WHEREAS, the Town has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities, and

WHEREAS, the Town of Springdale has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Town of Springdale to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that

1. The Town of Springdale hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Springdale will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Springdale Town Board; and
3. On behalf of the Town of Springdale, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

Dated this $16^{\text {th }}$ Day of August, 2022.


Supervisor 1

## Supervisor 2



## Resolution 2022-04 Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Town of Springfield recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Town of Springfield's Board of Supervisors recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Springfield has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Town of Springfield has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Town to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Springfield hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Springfield will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Town of Springfield Board of Supervisors; and
3. On behalf of the Town of Springfield, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

So resolved and dated this $20^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2022

Attest:


## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

## Resolution Number $2022+212$

WHEREAS the Town of Vermont recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the board of supervisors for the Town of Vermont recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Vermont has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS the Town of Vermont has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Town of Vermont to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Vermont hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Vermont will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Town of Vermont the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the board of supervisors for the Town of Vermont; and
3. On behalf of the Town of Vermont, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

## So resolved,



H:\Emerplan\Nat Hazard Mitigation\Plan Update 2023\Local Annexes\2023 DCNHMP - Sample - Muni Plan Adoption Resolution Language .doc

## Resolution Number 2022-4 <br> Town of Verona

## Resolution Adopting the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan: 2022 Update

WHEREAS the Town of Verona recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

WHEREAS the Town of Verona Board recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community, and

WHEREAS the Town of Verona has been an active, joint participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan update, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate risks from natural hazards, and

WHEREAS the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities,

WHEREAS Town of Verona has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of Town of Verona to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, and

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Verona hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and
2. The Town of Verona will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Board of the Town of Verona; and
3. On behalf of Town of Verona, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

ADOPTED by the Town of Verona Board on September 6, 2022, Dane County, Wisconsin.


I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly adopted by the Town of Verona Board at a legal meeting on the 6th day of September 2022.


Dated $\qquad$

## TOWN OF WESTPORT

## RESOLUTION NO. 22-05

## A RESOLUTION BY THE TOWN OF WESTPORT BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO ADOPT THE DANE COUNTY NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN: 2022 UPDATE

WHEREAS, the Town of Westport recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and,

WHEREAS, the Town Board of Supervisors of the Town of Westport recognizes the importance of reducing or eliminating vulnerability to disasters caused by natural hazards for the overall good and welfare of the community; and,

WHEREAS, the Town of Westport has been an active participant in the Dane County Hazard Mitigation Citizens Advisory Committee, which has established a comprehensive, multi-jurisdictional, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan to identify natural hazards and develop strategies to mitigate natural hazards; and,

WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, requires jurisdictions to prepare and adopt a natural hazard mitigation plan to be eligible for certain federal disaster mitigation funding opportunities; and,

WHEREAS, the Town of Westport has identified and justified a number of proposed projects and programs needed to mitigate the vulnerabilities of the Town of Westport to the impacts of future disasters to be included in the Dane County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan; and,

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that,

1. The Town of Westport hereby adopts the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan; and,
2. The Town of Westport will continue to participate in the updating and revision of the Town of Westport Dane County Hazard Mitigation Plan with a plan review and revision to occur within a five-year cycle, and designated staff will provide annual progress reports on the status of implementation of the plan to the Town of Westport Board of Supervisors; and,
3. On behalf of the Town of Westport, Dane County is authorized to submit this plan adoption resolution to Wisconsin Emergency Management and Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region V officials to enable final acceptance and recognition of the Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The above and foregoing Resolution was duly adopted by the Town Board of Supervisors of the Town of Westport, Dane County, Wisconsin at a regular meeting held on August 15, 2022, by a vote of
$\qquad$ ayes, $\bigcirc$ nays, $\bigcirc$ abstaining, and $\qquad$ not voting (absent).


## Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan

## Appendix D - Previous Mitigation Strategies

## Contents:

Page
Goal \#1 - Minimize human, economic, and environmental disruption from natural hazards ..... D-2
Goal \#2 - Educate and encourage property owners to take action to decrease their vulnerability to the impacts of natural hazards ..... D-9
Goal \#3 - Encourage hazard mitigation planning and incorporate that planning into other related plans ..... D-15
Goal \#4 - Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels ..... D-18
Goal \#5 - Improve the disaster resilience of existing buildings, structures, critical facilities, as well as infrastructure whether new construction, expansion, or renovation. ..... D-23
Goal \#6 - Utilize existing science and technology to better understand and address changing risk to natural hazards in a changing climate ..... D-26

Goal 1
Goal 1: Minimize human, economic, and environmental disruption from natural hazards.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ <br> Background Information | Plan Update <br> Status <br> (April 2022) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Encourage the use of road materials and designs that are more resilient to temperature and precipitation extremes. | Moderate | Extreme Heat | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation. Action is needed to reduce bucking of roadways in periods of extreme heat. | Ongoing - built into regular practice |
| 3 | Consider tree coverage requirements for new subdivisions, parking lots, and other developments. | Moderate | Extreme Heat | Dane County Tree Board/ <br> Shade trees can help mitigate the urban heat island effect during periods of extreme heat. | Rolled into new Strategy \# 5 |
| 4 | Support and maintain heat and cold shelter network throughout County. | Moderate | Extreme Cold <br> Extreme Heat | Dane County Human Services/ Cooling and warming centers are essential tools in mitigating vulnerability reducing mortality during periods of extreme heat or cold. | Ongoing - Dane <br> CO and municipalities have worked to expand capacity of public spaces (emergency staffing) and maintained excessive temp response plans. |
| 5 | Continue to implement sound floodplain management practices through continued compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program, to include floodplain ordinance enforcement and periodic review, promoting the benefits of flood insurance, and continued staff training and development in floodplain management. Continue to enforce the SI/SD requirements after a flood. | High | Flood | Dane County Planning and Development <br> This is a continuous, on-going activity. The County recognizes the importance of the availability of flood insurance to its citizens. Dane County will make every effort to remain in good standing with the NFIP. | Ongoing application of strategy is standardized into DC P\&D practices. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Inventory public and private wells in the floodplain. | High | Flood | Public Health, Madison and Dane County/ Drinking water wells are vulnerable to contamination during and after floods. A comprehensive database of sites with potential for contamination is not currently available. Developing this data would provide a baseline starting point to better understand this risk. | Completed |
| 7 | Identify, conserve, restore, and utilize land of potential flood mitigation value. Lands of potential flood mitigation value are wetlands, floodplain corridors, upland storage, internally drained basins, and areas of high infiltration potential. | High | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources and Dane County Planning and Development/ This is part of on-going effort. Preserving floodplains, wetlands, and natural water storage areas helps maintain existing stormwater storage capacities. This is an import step in assuring that existing run off problems do not worsen. | Rolled into Strategy \#8. |
| 8 | Identify and map areas in the County that have potential flood mitigation value. | High | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources and Dane County Planning and Development/ This is part of on-going effort to identify, conserve, and restore land of potential flood mitigation value, such as wetlands, floodplain corridors, upland storage areas, internally drained areas, and areas of high infiltration value. | Rolled into Strategy \#8. |
| 9 | Establish flood mitigation as a criterion for land acquisition and environmental restoration where it would aid in the achievement of flood-reduction goals and conserve and restore land that meets the criteria. | High | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ This is an on-going, continuous effort and the County routinely purchases land for this purpose. | Ongoing application of strategy is standardized into DC P\&D practices. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Facilitate programs that encourage stormwater volume control to reduce flow into storage areas. | High | Flood | Dane County Lakes and Watershed Commission and the Capital Area Regional Planning Commission established a Stormwater Technical Advisory Team to evaluate the County's stormwater management strategies and make recommendations regarding flood risk reduction. | Ongoing - <br> application of <br> strategy is <br> standardized into <br> committee <br> practices. |
| 11 | Facilitate programs to maintain drainage channels to decrease storm flooding. | High | Flood | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/Dane County Land and Water Resources/ <br> Undersized channels, siltation, and debris in streams and ditches is often cited as a significant contributor to localized flood problems. This is an on-going effort. Dane County recently implemented a capital improvement project to upgrade culverts along County highways to increase conveyance. | Ongoing application of strategy is standardized into departmental practices. |
| 12 | Further refine the County's flood risk assessment to include an analysis of cost savings from implementation of floodplain management. | Moderate | Flood | Departments of Emergency Management and Planning and Development/ As indicated in the 2008 flood damage assessment, additional information is needed to fully understand where flood damages occur and the extent to which those losses can be prevented. | Rolled into <br> Strategy \#14 |
| 13 | Develop an education program for highway and town workers to identify and encourage native plants and to identify and eradicate noxious, invasive species. | High | Invasive <br> Species | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ <br> There is a growing awareness that certain invasive species, such as wild parsnip, can pose a significant risk to unsuspecting workers and others exposed to the plant. This is one step in the process to address this problem. | Ongoing application of strategy is standardized into committee practices. |


| Goal 1: Minimize human, economic, and environmental disruption from natural hazards. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ <br> Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| 14 | Develop an inventory and monitoring system for invasive vegetation control. Consider a citizen monitoring system utilizing digital technologies, such as a mobile application, for identifying and reporting invasive species patches. | Moderate | Invasive Species | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ <br> There is a growing awareness that certain invasive species, such as wild parsnip, can pose a significant risk to unsuspecting workers and others exposed to the plant. This is one step in the process to address this problem. | Ongoing - DC Land and Water Resources; DC Public Works, <br> Highway and Transportation; and DC Extension Ofc. work with municipal departments and "friends" groups to support identification and eradication of invasive species. |
| 15 | Explore existing invasive species targeting and best management practices and develop a public outreach campaign around these techniques. | Moderate | Invasive <br> Species | Dane County Highway and Transportation/ There is a growing awareness that certain invasive species, such as wild parsnip, can pose a significant risk to unsuspecting workers and others exposed to the plant. This is one step in the process to address this problem. | Ongoing - DC Land and Water Resources; DC Public Works, <br> Highway and Transportation; and DC Extension Ofc. work with municipal departments and "friends" groups to support identification and eradication of invasive species. |
| 16 | Adopt a lobbying position for increased ability to modify building codes to protect lives and property from changing risk of natural hazards. This includes ability to exceed state uniform building codes in areas of elevated risk. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Executive's Office/ There are numerous, low-cost construction methods that would reduce risk if implemented. The State of Wisconsin administers building codes; County and local governments have little authority if code changes are needed. | Complete |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ <br> Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Encourage local units of government within the County to employ hazard mitigation concepts when forming, reviewing, and updating local ordinances. Create local understanding of changing levels of vulnerability and risk due to climate change. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ <br> The County can assist local governments in managing natural hazards in a variety of ways. Local ordinances can be used to reduce vulnerability. While the County has little or no direct authority in these areas, the County can encourage local governments to employ mitigation concepts when forming, reviewing, and updating local ordinances. | Ongoing - <br> Part of established practices for DC Dept. of Planning and Development. DC Ofc. of Energy and Climate Change was established for the purpose of climate change education. |
| 18 | Broaden existing partnerships with community support groups and service providers to better prepare for and respond to the needs of vulnerable populations in a disaster. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Human Services/ <br> Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. Many partnerships between the County and community support groups already exist. Disaster planning is an area where County coordination could greatly benefit clients to reduce their overall vulnerability to natural hazards. This is part of an on-going, continuous effort. | Ongoing application of strategy is standardized into departmental practices. |
| 19 | If not already in place, encourage local governments to require that mobile home parks have storm shelters with enough capacity to adequately protect all residents of the development. | High | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ Manufactured homes are particularly vulnerable to damage from high wind and tornadoes, leading to a disproportionate number of residents that are injured or killed. Internal safe rooms can reduce injury and loss of life. Community storm shelters are a cost effective means of protecting residents of mobile home parks. | Ongoing - Part of <br> Dept. of <br> Emergency <br> Management's support to municipal emergency planning efforts. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ <br> Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Decrease the vulnerability of County park users to natural hazards through education and better shelter design. Assist local governments in applying for predisaster mitigation funds to construct storm shelters in vulnerable public facilities, such as parks and fairgrounds, and in mobile home parks. | High | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ Areas where large numbers of people gather outside are particularly vulnerable to tornadoes. | Rolled into Strategy \#9 |
| 21 | Assist eligible manufactured home owners, not within mobile home parks, by applying for federal hazard mitigation grant funds as they become available to retrofit homes with a safe room. | Moderate | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ Manufactured homes are particularly vulnerable to damage from high wind and tornadoes, leading to a disproportionate number of residents that are injured or killed. Internal safe rooms can reduce injury and loss of life. | Complete. |
| 22 | Encourage local governments to provide adequate tornado shelters for employees and members of the public who may be present at their facilities. | Low | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ Tornado shelters are essential for life-safety. | Ongoing - Part of Dept. of Emergency Management's support to municipal emergency planning efforts. |
| 23 | Assess and document the current state of tornado shelters at businesses. Facilitate the provision of technical assistance and incentives, when available, for business owners to provide adequate tornado shelter for employees, customers, and members of the public present at their facilities. | Moderate | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ The Department is routinely requested to make assessments and recommendations regarding shelter locations within buildings. This recommendation is to create a systematic program to address these issues. | Ongoing - Part of <br> Dept. of <br> Emergency <br> Management's <br> support to <br> municipal <br> emergency <br> planning efforts. |


| Goal 1: Minimize human, economic, and environmental disruption from natural hazards. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Lead Agency/ <br> Background Information | Plan Update Status (April 2022) |
| 25 | Where feasible, retrofit existing or install new structures in County-owned buildings to ensure adequate shelter from tornadoes. | Low | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ Tornado shelters are essential for life-safety. | Ongoing - Part of Dept. of Admin. \& DC Public Works regular facility update procedures. |
| 26 | Encourage and, where necessary, install natural barriers beside highways and other roads, and regrade roadsides to decrease snow on roads focusing on residential developments with limited road access. Especially consider new projects and road rebuilding projects. | Low | Winter Storm | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ Focus on rural areas with limited road access. Snow blowing and drifting over roads is a significant hazard that can be effectively managed through road design and planning of natural barriers as snow fences. The recommended actions are typically incorporated into highway design considerations when rebuilding or improving roadways. | Ongoing - Part of DC Highway's procedures on both county roads and support to municipalities. |
| 27 | Continue to enhance anti-icing practices for roads within Dane County. | Moderate | Winter Storm | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ Effective de-icing of roadways is a direct means of reducing the impact of winter storms on travelers in the County. | Ongoing - Part of DC Highway's procedures on county maintained roads. |

Goal 2
Goal 2: Educate and encourage property owners to take action to decrease their vulnerability to the impacts of natural hazards.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Work with stormwater utilities to create public outreach campaign to educate public on benefits of stormwater volume control techniques and water conservation. Highlight property owner opportunities to contribute to increased volume control such as rain gardens and rain barrels or cisterns. | High | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Lakes and Watershed Commission Partnerships are essential to the success of this plan. Many local stormwater utilities already promote private homeowner actions to reduce stormwater volume control and water conservation. This objective builds on those activities. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \end{array}$ |
| 2 | Create a dry basements program for eligible housing to mitigate the risk of harmful mold outbreaks. | High | Flood | Public Health, Madison and Dane County and Dane County Emergency Management/ <br> Mold growth in basements after a flood event can lead to on-going health concerns long after the flood waters have receded. This is particularly problematic in low income areas where residents may lack the resources to effectively clean-up basements and take the necessary action to prevent the problem from recurring. | Complete. |
| 3 | Improve citizen and local elected officials understanding of floodplain maps, floodplain regulations, flood proofing options, development and stormwater management considerations, and other information to assist in good decision-making. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Planning and Development/ Factors leading to increasing flood risk are complex and are not readily understood by significant numbers of people. Improved tools are needed for informing people of the risks and options available for reducing or eliminating that risk. This is an ongoing effort. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \end{array}$ |

Goal 2: Educate and encourage property owners to take action to decrease their vulnerability to the impacts of natural hazards.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information Update <br> Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 4 | Develop and use a flood risk map based on hydric <br> soils, wetlands, and areas of past damage. Consider <br> incorporating a buffer area of 1 foot in elevation <br> above the mapped 100-year floodplain on FIRM <br> maps as an advisory tool. Use the map as an <br> educational tool, and also share with real estate <br> agents and local units of government. Utilize FEMA's <br> Risk Map concept as a model. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane <br> County Planning and Development/ into <br> Factors leading to increasing flood risk are complex <br> and are not readily understood by significant <br> numbers of people. This is particularly true of <br> FIRMs. Improved tools are needed for informing <br> people of the risks and options available for <br> reducing or eliminating that risk. This would also <br> be a means to illustrate changing flood risk in light <br> of climate change, with a focus on education <br> rather than regulation. |
| 5 | Create and distribute educational materials for <br> landlords and residents regarding negative long-term <br> health effects and options for addressing damp and <br> moldy conditions following a flood event. | Moderate | Flood | Public Health, Madison and Dane County and Dane <br> County Emergency Management/ <br> Mold growth in basements after a flood event can <br> lead to on-going health concerns long after the <br> flood waters have receded. This is particularly <br> problematic in low income areas where residents <br> may lack the resources to effectively clean-up <br> basements and take the necessary action to <br> prevent the problem from recurring. |

Goal 2: Educate and encourage property owners to take action to decrease their vulnerability to the impacts of natural hazards.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Provide an opportunity for homeowners to take advantage of state and federal flood mitigation funding to decrease their risk to flooding. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are a variety of protective measures available to homeowners. All of these options have advantages and disadvantages that have to be weighed against the risks and cost of undertaking the effort. The property owner would normally implement these protective measures, although in many cases, government agencies can provide technical and financial assistance. Dane County's role in this process is as a facilitating and coordinating entity. The County can assist in identifying and prioritizing structures at risk, recommending appropriate protective actions, identifying potential funding sources, and coordinating grant applications. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of } D C \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Facilitate the use of existing tools and develop new educational tools to inform local officials, developers, property owners, and other stakeholders about preventing, mitigating, and responding to floods; taking advantage of flood events as an opportunity to get the word out. | Low | Flood | Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. Information and education, pilot projects, and incentives are all tools that can be used to encourage homeowners to choose methods that will provide future resistance to the effects of flooding. People are often most receptive to making these changes immediately following an event, particularly one that causes damage in the immediate area. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Compile and disseminate mitigation information to help citizens decrease their vulnerability to natural hazards. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are many state and federal assistance programs available to help property owners become more resistant to the effects of natural hazards. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 9 | Create a web-based resiliency guide for citizens to better understand their vulnerabilities and personal actions that can be taken by the property owner to reduce risk. Include flood zone maps, climate change information, and mitigation strategies by hazard. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Factors leading to increasing natural hazards risk are complex and are not readily understood by significant numbers of people. Improved tools are needed for informing people of the risks and options available for reducing or eliminating that risk. This would also be a means to illustrate changing risk in light of climate change, with a focus on education rather than regulation. | Removed - The information identified for a resiliency guide is provided by other means.. |
| 10 | Work with partners to develop and publicize an information clearinghouse on sustainable and disaster resistant construction methods and local resources and use it in a public outreach campaign to encourage property owners to consider hazard mitigation and sustainability when building or remodeling. This should be included on resilience web-based publication. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. <br> Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. Partnerships with designers, contractors, and suppliers can provide information to home-owners at key points when they are making decisions regarding selection of materials and construction methods. <br> Information and education, pilot projects, and incentives are all tools that can be used to encourage homeowners to choose methods that will provide future resistance to the effects of natural hazards. | Remove - DCEM staffing has not allowed for development of these partnerships. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Work with partners to provide technical assistance to private property owners for planting, pruning, maintaining, removing, and replacing trees. Also include information on tree care, debris clean up, recognizing and dealing with hazardous trees. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Tree Board/ <br> Falling trees and tree limbs are a major source of debris that must be cleaned up after a storm and also a major cause of downed power lines and power outages. Proper care of trees on private property could reduce this problem. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 12 | Provide information on sources of funding and technical assistance to help individuals take actions to decrease their vulnerability to all natural hazards. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are many programs available to help property owners become more resistant to the effects of natural hazards. These programs can only be effective if people know about them. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \end{array}$ |
| 13 | Sponsor public education workshop demonstrating mitigation actions people can take on their own property to become more resilient. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. Partnerships with designers, contractors, and suppliers can provide information to home-owners at key points when they are making decisions regarding selection of materials and construction methods. <br> Information and education, pilot projects, and incentives are all tools that can be used to encourage homeowners to choose methods that will provide future resistance to the effects of natural hazards. | Remove - DCEM staffing has not allowed for development of these workshops. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Work with partners to encourage agricultural producers to plan for power outages and install backup power generation. | Low | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ Agricultural operations and dairies are particularly vulnerable to losses in a sustained power outage. Renewable energy sources such as photo-voltaic cells would further reduce the vulnerability. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 15 | Publish and distribute a continuity of operations guide to critical facilities and businesses. Guide should outline potential natural hazard impacts and associated mitigation strategies that can aid in minimizing or preventing downtime during a natural disaster. | Low | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Effective response plans can minimize business down-time after an event occurs. | Complete - Part <br> of DC <br> department's <br> normal operating <br> procedures to <br> support COOP.. |
| 16 | Educate building professionals on the importance of wind-mitigation techniques. | Low | Tornado <br> Wind | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are simple, cost effective techniques available for reducing damages caused by tornados and high winds. The County does not have jurisdiction over building codes, so these actions are best achieved through education and partnership with builders. | Remove - Lack of opportunity to engage with building professionals |
| 17 | Monitor mosquito and tick populations and develop vector breeding habitat control model ordinance. Develop public education materials regarding mosquito control techniques. | High | Vector-borne Illness | Public Health, Madison and Dane County/ Public understanding and control of breeding habitats is an effective means of minimizing mosquito and tick populations and reducing the incidence of transmitted illnesses. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |

Goal 3
Goal 3: Encourage hazard mitigation planning and incorporate that planning into other related plans.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Support and expand the Municipal, County and Citizen Water Quality Monitoring System. | High | Algal Blooms | Public Health, Madison and Dane County/ Public Health Madison and Dane County (PHMDC) maintains a routine surveillance and sampling schedule of area lakes, streams, primary and secondary outfalls, and point and non-point source run-off to ground surface, wetlands, and surface waters. Monitoring is essential as water conditions change quickly and testing results do not always represent the current conditions of the water quality. This is an on-going effort. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 2 | Support the watershed adaptive management program Yahara WINs to reduce nutrient loading in the Yahara watershed from non-point source pollution sources. | High | Algal Blooms | Harmful algal blooms are a consequence of the interplay of a range contributing water quality factors. Yahara WINS is a partnership program led by Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District intended to reduce phosphorous loads. The efforts of the Yahara WINS partnership can have an impact in reducing the incidence of algal blooms and other water quality related hazards. | Complete |
| 3 | Develop a County drought plan that takes into consideration meteorological, hydrological, agricultural, and socioeconomic drought. | High | Drought | Dane County Planning and Development/ The impacts and vulnerability to drought in Dane County are highly complex and are interrelated with many other aspects of life in the County. This complexity cannot be effectively managed within the scope of this multi-hazard plan. | Complete - Drought planning is art of DC department's normal operating procedures. |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Address flooding as a significant component of the Dane County Comprehensive Plan. | High | Flood | Dane County Planning and Development/ Coordination of these planning efforts is essential to the success of both programs. Hazard risk reduction should be an on-going discussion in the comprehensive planning process. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 5 | Evaluate methods such as modification of bridge constrictions, aquatic plant removal, dredging, and channel modifications to increase flow conveyance, while respecting in-stream natural and cultural resources. | Low | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources and Dane County Public Works, Highway, and Transportation/ Obstruction to the flow of water, from a wide variety of causes, has been identified as a significant contributing factor to flood impacts. Water conveyance can be improved, but must be utilized in conjunction with a range of other techniques, recognizing the function and limitations of the entire system. This is an ongoing activity. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. Public works projects in the Yahara River watershed to clear debris, remove aquatic plants, and dredge are in process. |
| 6 | Encourage communities to use best urban and rural forestry management practices. Supply information regarding benefits of urban forestry on urban heat island effects. Develop model policy for addressing invasive species and pest outbreaks as they relate to trees. | Moderate | Invasive Species | Dane County Tree Board and Dane County Land and Water Resources/ <br> Shade trees can help mitigate the urban heat island effect during periods of extreme heat. This builds on current efforts to manage trees harmed by the emerald ash borer. | Rolled into Strategy \#5 |


| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Assist local governments in developing hazard mitigation plans that will meet the DMA2000 requirements, address specific local needs, and are consistent with County goals. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ The County can assist local governments in managing natural hazards in a variety of ways. Assisting with development of hazard mitigation plans is an effective means to coordinate these efforts. | Complete - Part of $D C$ department's normal operating procedures. |
| 9 | Assist local units of government in developing plans and model ordinances for managing trees or other vegetation, before, during, and after natural hazard events including flooding, tornadoes, drought, wildfires, and ice storms. Plans should reflect changing risk to flooding, ice storms, and excessive heat. | Low | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ The County can assist local governments in managing natural hazards in a variety of ways. Local ordinances can be used to reduce vulnerability. While the County has little or no direct authority in these areas, the County can encourage local governments to employ mitigation concepts when forming, reviewing, and updating local ordinances. | Complete - Part of DC Ofc. of Energy and Climate Change's normal operating procedures. |

Goal 4
Goal 4: Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ensure local communities have extreme heat and cold contingency plans for vulnerable populations. | High | Extreme Heat, Extreme Cold | Dane County Human Services and Dane County Emergency Management/ Cooling and warming centers are essential tools in mitigating vulnerability reducing mortality during periods of extreme heat or cold. In most cases these centers are set up and operated by local jurisdictions. This process requires partnership and coordination with service agencies and local jurisdictions in order to be successful. While this is a new objective in the plan, this is an on-going effort. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 2 | Identify flood hot spots or high priority projects involving multiple jurisdictions where watershed level solutions could be applied. | High | Flood | All Departments/ <br> The County is uniquely situated to coordinate and facilitate projects on a watershed level. In fact, facilitating multi-jurisdictional efforts was identified in the public input process as one of the primary roles of the County. | Rolled into Strategies \#4 \& 8 |
| 3 | Coordinate funding opportunities to carry out the objectives of the natural hazard mitigation plan including, but not limited to, mitigation, land acquisition, regional projects, and flood response activities. | High | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management/ There is wide range of funding opportunities available to advance the objectives of this plan. Inter-department and Inter-governmental coordination is important to assure that information is shared, opportunities are not missed, and efforts are not duplicated. | Rolled into Strategy \#8 |


| Goal 4: Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| 4 | Manage the Yahara River and Chain of Lakes, and other watersheds as integrated systems to minimize flood risk. | High | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ Dane County is a drainage area. With a few exceptions, Dane County contains the headwaters of the rivers and streams flowing out of the County. These waterbodies should managed as integrated systems, considering the function of the entire watershed. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Ensure that the Department of Natural Resources affords flood risk as high priority when evaluating the public interest in the lake level orders for the Yahara chain of lakes. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) created the Yahara Lakes Water Level Advisory Group (YLAG2) in 2011 to make recommendations regarding the water levels on the Yahara Lakes, including Mendota, Monona, Waubesa, Kegonsa and the Stoughton millpond. This was a stakeholder and public input driven process. Flood risk was considered along with numerous other issues and ultimately, the lake level orders were not changed. | Complete |
| 6 | Develop a coordinated management strategy and a unified plan of operation and maintenance for all control structures on the Yahara River from Tenney Dam to the Stoughton Dam. Assure that the responsible agency has the technical expertise and resources to operate and maintain the control structures within the parameters of the plan. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ The Dane County Lake Level Management Guide for the Yahara Chain of Lakes was prepared in 2010. This guide has been implemented. Management of the Yahara River and Lakes as an integrated system is an on-going effort of continuous improvement. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |


| Goal 4: Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| 7 | Maintain the levels of the Yahara lakes at the lower limit of the DNR's set operating range as part of a comprehensive strategy that addresses flood risk and the needs of fisheries, recreational interests, agricultural interests, and lakeshore property owners. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ The Dane County Lake Level Management Guide for the Yahara Chain of Lakes was prepared in 2010. This guide has been implemented. Management of the Yahara River and Lakes as an integrated system is an on-going effort of continuous improvement. The Management Guide does seek to balance these interests but does not recommend management of the system at the lower level of the DNR's set operating range - other factors are considered as well | Complete - Part of $D C$ department's normal operating procedures. |
| 8 | Improve monitoring and modeling of the Yahara River and chain of lakes to develop a better understanding of how the system can be more effectively managed. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Land and Water Resources/ The Yahara system is a complicated, mostly manmade system with its four dams, channel constrictions, and irregular channel geometries. There is a need to spatially evaluate the Yahara Lakes through numerical modeling to further understand the complex system. Land and Water Resources has developed and continues to improve the Yahara Integrated Nowcast/Forecast Operation System (INFOS) to better understand and manage the Yahara River as an integrated system. This is an on-going effort that includes both water quality and water quantity concerns. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 9 | Launch and update when necessary an educational program to provide local units of government with important flood-fighting information. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are a range of flood fighting options available to communities in addition to traditional sandbagging operations. This effort would include alternative options and resources available to assist local jurisdictions. This is an on-going effort. | Complete - Part of $D C$ department's normal operating procedures. |


| Goal 4: Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| 10 | Facilitate multi-jurisdictional, high priority flood project activities involving stakeholders, and incorporated and unincorporated units of government where they are consistent with the goals and policies of this plan. | Moderate | Flood | The County is uniquely situated to coordinate and facilitate projects on a watershed level. In fact, facilitating multi-jurisdictional efforts was identified in the public input process as one of the primary roles of the County. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 11 | Evaluate stormwater volume control policies, such as a $100 \%$ pre-development run-off control ordinance and fee-in-lieu-of program and assess for feasibility both County-wide and in closed watersheds only. | Moderate | Flood | Dane County Lakes and Watershed Commission and the Capital Area Regional Planning Commission established a Stormwater Technical Advisory Team in 2016-2017 to evaluate the County's stormwater management strategies and make recommendations regarding flood risk reduction. | Complete |
| 12 | Improve the flood-fighting response capabilities of Dane County and local units of government. | Low | Flood | Dane County Emergency Management/ There are a great number of actions that communities can take to reduce damages when flooding occurs, but these efforts must be coordinated and well planned to be effective. This is an on-going effort. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 13 | Install a grounding and surge protection system to protect the electrical circuits that are critical to the methane blower system at the Verona Landfill. | High | Lightning | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ <br> This structure has been damaged by lightning in the past. Improved grounding and surge protection is needed. | Complete |

Goal 4: Facilitate and coordinate solutions to multi-jurisdictional issues that involve government, citizens, stakeholders, and policy-makers at all levels.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Plan Update <br> Status |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 14 | Assess and document the extent to which critical <br> facilities have back-up power systems in place. Work <br> with partners to develop plans to deploy back-up <br> power sources at critical facilities | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane <br> County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ <br> Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of <br> this plan. Many critical facilities and the <br> populations they serve are particularly vulnerable <br> in a sustained power outage. Renewable energy <br> sources such as photo-voltaic cells would further <br> reduce the vulnerability. The function of these <br> critical facilities is vulnerable to loss of electrical <br> power. |  |
| 15 | Develop procedures to establish communication <br> channels and mechanisms for identifying and <br> providing services to vulnerable populations during <br> hazard events. Generate and utilize existing <br> vulnerability maps and link surveillance data to risk <br> factors. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Human Services and Dane County <br> Emergency Management. | Complete - Part <br> Vulnerability to the effects of natural hazards can <br> be mitigated through organized welfare checks as <br> well "social capital" programs that build on <br> informal relationships between friends, family <br> members, and neighbors. People in Dane County <br> are generally well connected to service agencies <br> and other people in their communities. |
| department's operating |  |  |  |  |  |
| procedures. |  |  |  |  |  |

Goal 5
Goal 5: Improve the disaster resilience of existing buildings, structures, critical facilities, as well as infrastructure whether new construction, expansion, or renovation.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Reduce flood damage to roadways and drainage structures and maintain emergency vehicle access to all residences. | High | Flood | Dane County Public Works, Highway and Transportation/ <br> Flood impact on County and local roads is a common problem in Dane County. Most State and Federal assistance to local governments following a flood is to assist with roadway and roadway drainage structure repair. This is an ongoing effort. | Complete - Part of DC <br> department's normal operating procedures. |
| 2 | Employ hazard mitigation concepts and support on-going sustainability concepts when building, remodeling, or otherwise improving County facilities or infrastructure. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Administration/ Structural mitigation is often cost-effective only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. The same concepts regarding construction methods and materials selection when building and remodeling apply to County facilities as well as private residences, businesses, and local government buildings. This is a successful on-going activity. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 3 | Review the County's Green Building Policy and consider revisions to include hazard mitigation and sustainability features of new County building and remodeling projects. | Low | Multi-hazard | Dane County Administration/ Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. This is a successful on-going activity. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Complete - Part } \\ \text { of DC } \\ \text { department's } \\ \text { normal operating } \\ \text { procedures. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Encourage local units of government to apply structural hazard mitigation and sustainability concepts when building or remodeling their facilities. | Low | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. | Complete - Part of DC <br> department's normal operating procedures. |


| Goal 5: Improve the disaster resilience of existing buildings, structures, critical facilities, as well as infrastructure whether new construction, expansion, or renovation. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| 5 | Encourage critical facilities to employ hazard mitigation and sustainability concepts when building or remodeling their facilities. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ The same concepts regarding construction methods and materials selection when building and remodeling apply to critical facilities as well as private residences. Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 6 | Facilitate the establishment of public/private partnerships with the local insurance industry, building industry, planners, architects, utilities, urban foresters, and their related associations to better inform and provide technical assistance to individuals about sustainable construction methods and hazard mitigation practices, and create incentives for action | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. Structural mitigation is often cost-effective when only when incorporated into the construction of a new building or a major remodeling project. Partnerships with designers, contractors, and suppliers can provide information to home-owners at key points when they are making decisions regarding selection of materials and construction methods. Information and education, pilot projects, and incentives are all tools that can be used to encourage homeowners to choose methods that will provide future resistance to the effects of natural hazards. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 7 | Assist in establishing public/private partnerships with local power, water, and wastewater utilities to further fortify their systems and reduce power outages and related losses caused by natural hazards. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management/ Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. The function of these critical facilities is vulnerable to loss of electrical power. Partnerships are essential to achieve the goals of this plan. This is a on-going effort to prepare for potential impacts of an extended power outage. | Complete - Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |

Goal 5: Improve the disaster resilience of existing buildings, structures, critical facilities, as well as infrastructure whether new construction, expansion, or renovation.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Packground Information Update <br> Status |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | Encourage local businesses to apply structural <br> hazard mitigation and sustainability concepts <br> when building or remodeling their facilities <br> and when constructing residential and <br> commercial buildings for others. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane <br> County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ <br> The same concepts regarding construction methods <br> and materials selection when building and <br> remodeling apply to business facilities as well as <br> private residences. | Complete - Part <br> normal operating <br> procedures. |

Goal 6
Goal 6: Utilize existing science and technology to better understand and address changing risk to natural hazards in a changing climate.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information | Plan Update Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Encourage all natural hazard mitigation goals, objectives, strategies, and objectives to be understood in the context of a changing climate. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ Considering potential changes in future conditions is essential when developing mitigation strategies that will be adaptable and effective in reducing future disaster losses. | Complete Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 3 | Use all available information to assess and manage natural hazard mitigation risk. Risk analyses should utilize both historical data and, where possible, projections of future conditions. | High | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ Considering potential changes in future conditions is essential when developing mitigation strategies that will be adaptable and effective in reducing future disaster losses. Most risk assessments rely on the frequency and magnitude of past occurrences to make predictions about future conditions. In the context of changing climate, however, past occurrences are no longer a valid predictor of the likelihood and scale of future hazard events. | Complete - <br> Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |
| 4 | Engage in planning and data gathering efforts that make progress toward achieving sustainability and resiliency through increasing efforts in hazard mitigation. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ Considering potential changes in future conditions is essential when developing mitigation strategies that will be adaptable and effective in reducing future disaster losses. | Complete Part of DC department's normal operating procedures. |

Goal 6: Utilize existing science and technology to better understand and address changing risk to natural hazards in a changing climate.

| Item | Mitigation Objective Title | Priority | Primary Hazard | Background Information <br> Status |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | Continue and strengthen collaboration with <br> non-County organizations and agencies that <br> have natural hazard mitigation functions. <br> Collaborate with University of Wisconsin to <br> improve prediction capability for extreme <br> weather events and health outcomes. Work <br> with climate experts at partner organizations to <br> improve County staff and resident <br> understanding of climate change impacts. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane <br> County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ <br> Considering potential changes in future conditions is <br> essential when developing mitigation strategies that <br> will be adaptable and effective in reducing future <br> disaster losses. Partnerships are essential to achieve <br> department's <br> normal <br> operating <br> procedures. |  |
| 6 | Facilitate working relationships with existing <br> partners and climate experts to encourage <br> County staff understanding of climate change this plan. <br> and its potential impacts. | Moderate | Multi-hazard | Dane County Emergency Management and Dane <br> County Office on Energy and Climate Change/ <br> Considering potential changes in future conditions is <br> essential when developing mitigation strategies that <br> will be adaptable and effective in reducing future <br> disaster losses. Partnerships are essential to achieve <br> the goals of this plan. | Complete - <br> Part of DC <br> department's <br> normal <br> procedures. |

# Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan 

## Appendix E - Key Demographics Index

Dane County Emergency Management (DCEM) assembled the Key Demographics Index (KDI) to assist municipal steering committees develop their local risk assessments and hazard mitigation strategies. The local jurisdictions included in this appendix represent the communities that expressed interest in participating in the Dane County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan (DCHMP) update. Not all communities that expressed interest participated and not all communities in Dane County participated so this document does not have data for every community in Dane County.

The information contained in the document is from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2019 American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS utilizes a combination of decennial census data, statistical estimates, and information reported directly by households to develop reliable estimates for each community. The 2020 Decennial Census Reports for Dane County were not available within the duration of the DCNHMP data production process.
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## Table Guide

It is important to remember that this information is to be used when addressing policy and operations level issues; it is not intended to support response-specific tactics.

The listing for each municipality will contain tables for each of the following.

## Population by Age Table

The Population by Age Table breaks down the municipal population along specific age groups and provides a percentage of the municipal population for each age group.

## Educational Attainment Table

The Educational Attainment Table indicates the number of municipal residents with education attainment from completion of high school to advanced college degrees.

## Race/Ethnic Composition Table

The Race and Ethnic Composition Table identifies population by race and ethnicity. This includes multi-racial and/or multi-ethnic individuals. The total is listed as out of a "sample" to indicate that the total may vary from the total population, due to data collection differences, selfreporting errors, and/or margin of error.

## Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Table

The Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Table indicates the number people who have SELFIDENTIFIED disabilities (through household surveys) within a community. Disability categories include ambulation, hearing, vision, self-care, and independence. Self-care includes hygiene capabilities, while independence includes both hygiene and supervision. Each disability category is further broken down by three age categories.

## Federal Income Poverty Level Tables

The Federal Income Poverty Level (FIPL) is based on family (people) and not household (location) data. A family is defined as a group dependent on a stream of income. Married couples (with dual incomes) are considered to have one stream of income. Two people living together (with dual incomes), but not married, are considered to be two families with separate streams of income. Children are associated with the family income that claims them as dependents.

The first table identifies the total number of families (regardless of size) by percentage category of the FIPL.

The second table identifies family size in relation to annual family income and the percentage category of the FIPL. Categories are expressed as a percentage of FIPL and family size; values are expressed as annual family income. The percentage of poverty level is formulated by dividing household income by the state poverty guideline and multiply by 100.

Example: A Wisconsin family of 5 with an annual income of $\$ 80,000$ would be calculated at $258 \%$ of the FIPL $[(\$ 80,000 / \$ 31,040) \times 100=258 \%]$.

When family incomes equal 100\% FIPL or less, the federal government officially recognizes as family as impoverished. Lower percentages correlate with income instability and higher percentages correlate with income stability.

Note: City of Edgerton is compared to Individuals instead of Families, due to a difference in data collection between Dane and Rock County. Individuals reflect the stream of income they are dependent upon, regardless of family size.

## Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Table

The Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Table is a summary of the predominant language spoken in households. Language options include:

- English
- Spanish
- Indo-European
- This includes languages commonly spoken in Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Central Asia, and Southern Asia.
- Examples: French, Norwegian, Russian, Greek, Lithuanian, Farsi.
- Asian and Pacific Island
- Other

If the predominant language is not English, levels of household English proficiency are identified.

## City of Edgerton

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Edgerton's compiled data. This data includes Rock County and Dane County resident information. Some tables may vary in comparison to other municipalities in this index. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 1.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{5 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 262 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 148 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 463 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 614 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 444 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 377 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 420 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 281 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 334 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 484 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 358 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 298 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 297 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 269 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 130 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 191 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 101 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 79 | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 1.2: Educational Attainment Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Population 25 years and over | $\mathbf{3 , 5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 251 | $7.1 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 1,326 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 894 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,068 | $30.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 1.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{5 , 5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 5,237 | 94.4 |
| Black or African American alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 6 | 0.1 |
| Asian alone | 95 | 1.7 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 145 | 2.6 |
| Two or more races: | 67 | 1.2 |
| Two races including Some other race | 43 | 0.8 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 24 | 0.4 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 1.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Individuals Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of People |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 374 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 886 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 1,181 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 1,382 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 1,460 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 2,334 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 3,160 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 4,360 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 1.5: Disability Characteristic by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1,324 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 210 | 15.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 52 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 158 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 165 | 12.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 49 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 55 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 61 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 250 | 18.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 33 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 191 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 26 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 352 | 26.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 96 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 256 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 108 | 8.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 21 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 87 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 239 | 18.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 110 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 36 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 129 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 1.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 2,355 | 100\% |
| English only | 2,212 | 93.9\% |
| Spanish: | 76 | 3.2\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 19 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 57 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 42 | 1.8\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 42 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 25 | 1.1\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 25 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2015 Estimates

## City of Fitchburg

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Fitchburg's compiled data.
Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 2.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 2,236 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 1,719 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 1,830 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 1,021 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 2,747 | $9.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 3,322 | $11.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 2,334 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 2,328 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 1,670 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 1,605 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 1,363 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 1,698 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 1,806 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 1,653 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 871 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 699 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 280 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 268 | $0.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 2.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{7 3 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 460 | $6.3 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 921 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 1,891 | $25.8 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 4,062 | $55.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 2.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample: | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 21,700 | $73.7 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 2,781 | $9.4 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 27 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 1,508 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 9 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 1,713 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 1,712 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 417 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 1,295 | $4.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 2.4 Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Categories | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 71 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 792 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 979 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 1,318 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 1,371 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 2,200 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 3,135 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 3,940 |


| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 2.5: Disability Characteristics by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 4223 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 468 | 11.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 22 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 144 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 302 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 284 | 6.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 64 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 163 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 57 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 1,250 | 29.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 414 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 645 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 191 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 1,208 | 28.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 382 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 826 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 341 | 8.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 44 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 107 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 190 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 672 | 15.9\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 372 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 161 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 300 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 2.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 12,449 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 10,440 | $\mathbf{8 3 . 9 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 442 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 847 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 260 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 42 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 218 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 384 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 15 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 369 | - |
| Other languages: | 76 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 16 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 60 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Madison

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Madison's compiled data.
Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community

Table 3.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{2 5 4 , 9 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 12,572 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 11,590 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 10,951 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 19,337 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 41,241 | $16.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 26,831 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 22,328 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 17,267 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 13,942 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 12,414 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 12,315 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 12,425 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 12,167 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 10,957 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 6,573 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 4,801 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 3,351 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 3,915 | $1.5 \%$ |
| ymer |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 3.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{5 0 8 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 2,033 | $4.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 6,508 | $12.80 \%$ |
| Some college or associate's degree | 11,721 | $23.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 30,581 | $60.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 3.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total in Sample | $\mathbf{2 6 4 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 200,384 | $75.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 17,874 | $6.8 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 1,155 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 22,823 | $8.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 136 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 3,560 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 9,045 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 1,068 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 7,977 | $3.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 3.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 1,415 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 4,256 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 5,574 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 7,559 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 8,382 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 13,712 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 20,348 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 27,026 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 3.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 36,240 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 5,049 | 13.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 155 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 1,947 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 2,947 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 3,054 | 8.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 145 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 1,654 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 1,255 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 8,417 | 23.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 981 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 5,778 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 1,658 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 8,612 | 23.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 110 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 4,117 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 4,385 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 3,827 | 10.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 264 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 1,981 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 1,582 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 7,281 | 20.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4,257 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 1,605 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 3,024 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 3.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 110,294 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 93,590 | $\mathbf{8 4 . 9 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 5,377 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 831 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 4,546 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 4,151 | $\mathbf{3 . 8 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 368 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3,783 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 5,945 | $\mathbf{5 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 1,694 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 4,251 | - |
| Other languages: | 1,231 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 216 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 1,015 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Middleton

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Middleton's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 4.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | 19,487 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 952 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 971 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 1,346 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 1,144 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 1,330 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 1,376 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 1,462 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 1,372 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 1,106 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 1,134 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 1,722 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 1,330 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 1,199 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 1,206 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 670 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 561 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 279 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 327 | $1.7 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 4.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Educational Attainment of Householder | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{5 , 2 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 7 | $0.1 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 582 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Some college or associate's degree | 1,181 | $22.6 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 3,437 | $66.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 4.3: Table: . 3 Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 19,941 | $100 \%$ |
| White Alone | 16,794 | $84 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 558 | $3 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 45 | $0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 890 | $4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 746 | $4 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 454 | $2 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 65 | $0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 389 | $2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 4.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 115 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 220 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 247 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 441 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 483 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 1,131 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 1,746 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 2,340 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 4.5: Disability Characteristics by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents that Self-Identified as Disabled | 2662 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 536 | 20.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 107 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 429 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 183 | 6.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 8 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 48 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 127 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 427 | 16.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 73 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 215 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 139 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 728 | 27.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 243 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 485 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 282 | 10.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 39 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 67 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 176 | - |
| With an Independent living difficulty | 506 | 19.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 136 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 52 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 318 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 4.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 8899 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 7,934 | $89.2 \%$ |
| Spanish: | 395 | $\mathbf{4 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 103 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 292 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 296 | $\mathbf{3 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 14 | $0.14 \%$ |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 282 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 218 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 39 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 179 | - |
| Other languages: | 56 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 56 | - |
| Saure Aman |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Monona

This section of the Key Demographics Index includes the City of Monona's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 5.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{8 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 400 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 520 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 399 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 328 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 374 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 519 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 613 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 562 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 501 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 482 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 512 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 599 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 650 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 552 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 462 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 263 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 166 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 220 | $2.7 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 5.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 241 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Some college or associate's degree | 521 | $25.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,304 | $63.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 5.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{8 3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White Alone | 7,581 | $90.8 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 115 | $1.4 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 131 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 65 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 230 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 17 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 213 | $2.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 5.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 28 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 68 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 120 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 167 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 187 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 307 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 694 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 927 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 5.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Resident of Self-Identified as Disabled | 1432 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 240 | 16.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 73 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 167 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 96 | 6.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 44 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 52 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 269 | 18.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 141 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 124 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 408 | 28.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 116 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 292 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 128 | 8.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 29 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 99 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 291 | 20.3\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 119 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 51 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 172 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 5.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 3896 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 3,691 | $94.7 \%$ |
| Spanish: | 72 | $1.85 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 72 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 51 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 51 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 27 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 27 | - |
| Other languages: | 55 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 33 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 22 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Stoughton

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Stoughton's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 6.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{( X )}$ |
| Under 5 years | 1,013 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 940 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 882 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 533 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 722 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 815 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 783 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 753 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 913 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 1,065 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 891 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 810 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 793 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 791 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 424 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 237 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 197 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 535 | $4.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 6.2: Educational Attainment Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 3,322 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 89 | $2.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 780 | $23.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 1,114 | $33.5 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,339 | $40.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 6.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 13,097 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 12,445 | 95.0 |
| Black or African American alone | 189 | 1.4 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 14 | 0.1 |
| Asian alone | 75 | 0.6 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 101 | 0.8 |
| Two or more races: | 273 | 2.1 |
| Two races including Some other race | 7 | 0.1 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 266 | 2.0 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 6.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 15 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 181 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 230 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 361 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 422 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 854 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 1,498 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 2,113 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 6.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 2706 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 552 | 20.4 |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 184 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 361 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 262 | 9.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 52 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 104 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 106 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 505 | 18.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 51 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 383 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 71 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 722 | 26.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 316 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 406 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 213 | 7.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 15 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 39 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 159 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 452 | 16.7\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 265 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 60 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 187 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 6.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 5,242 | 100\% |
| English only | 5,026 | 95.9 |
| Spanish: | 134 | 2.6\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 19 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 115 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 76 | 1.4\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 76 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 6 | 0.1\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Sun Prairie

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Sun Prairie's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 7.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | 33,321 | $100 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 2,283 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 2,149 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 2,603 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 2,226 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 1,608 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 2,464 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 2,472 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 2,933 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 2,385 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 2,306 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 2,065 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 1,992 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 1,386 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 1,432 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 1,247 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 650 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 523 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 597 | $1.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 7.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{8 4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 222 | $2.6 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 1,371 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 2,760 | $32.8 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 4,057 | $48.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 7.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 34621 | $100 \%$ |
| White Alone | 26,674 | $77.0 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 2,695 | $7.8 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 212 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 2,231 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 48 | $0.14 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 161 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 1,300 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 46 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 1,254 | $3.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 7.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 124 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 571 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 710 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 970 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 1,178 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 2,342 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 3,422 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 4,779 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^1]Table 7.5: Disability Type by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 5002 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 820 | 16.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 46 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 180 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 594 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 430 | 8.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 58 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 99 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 273 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 943 | 18.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 175 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 458 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 310 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 1,330 | 26.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 542 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 788 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 577 | 11.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 39 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 235 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 303 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 902 | 18.0\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 407 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 142 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 495 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 7.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 13479 | $100 \%$ |
| English only: | 11,948 | $88.6 \%$ |
| Spanish: | 508 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 508 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 276 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 20 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 256 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 524 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 92 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 432 | - |
| Other languages: | 223 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 22 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 201 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## City of Verona

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the City of Verona's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 8.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 13,004 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 815 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 1,066 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 1,248 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 827 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 673 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 600 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 982 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 932 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 938 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 1,253 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 860 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 881 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 611 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 392 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 394 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 271 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 137 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 124 | $1.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 8.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 3352 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 70 | $2.1 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 307 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 712 | $21.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 2,263 | $67.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 8.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 13,004 | $100 \%$ |
| White alone | 11,718 | $90.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 559 | $4.3 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 448 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 279 | $2.1 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 279 | $2.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 8.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 16 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 98 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 108 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 180 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 197 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 515 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 830 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,212 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 8.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1095 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 78 | 7.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 78 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 107 | 9.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 91 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 16 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 203 | 18.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 40 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 84 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 79 |  |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 354 | 32.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 26 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 131 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 197 |  |
| With a self-care difficulty | 161 | 14.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 40 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 33 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 88 |  |
| With an independent living difficulty | 192 | 17.5\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 62 |  |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 130 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 8.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{5 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{4 , 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 3 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 68 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \% 1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 68 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 257 | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 257 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 106 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 26 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 80 | - |
| Other languages: | 66 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 66 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Belleville

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Belleville's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 9.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 127 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 95 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 161 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 97 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 106 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 78 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 122 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 106 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 156 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 180 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 150 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 166 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 121 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 50 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 120 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 8 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 40 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 15 | $0.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 9.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 567 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 13 | $2.3 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 86 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 246 | $43.4 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 222 | $39.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 9.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,783 | $93.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 17 | $0.9 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 16 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 14 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 68 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 68 | $3.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 9.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 8 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 24 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 24 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 36 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 44 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 124 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 221 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 316 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 9.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 198 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 59 | 29.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 27 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 32 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 9 | 4.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 5 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 31 | 15.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 12 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 19 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 57 | 28.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 37 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 10 | 5.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 6 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 32 | 16.2\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 6 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 15 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 9.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 799 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | 756 | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 12 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 22 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Black Earth

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Black Earth's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 10.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{1 , 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 135 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 78 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 35 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 66 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 103 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 95 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 122 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 78 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 50 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 129 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 79 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 153 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 99 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 73 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 55 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 31 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 8 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 29 | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 10.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 2 | $0.6 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 101 | $28.7 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 123 | $34.9 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 126 | $35.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 10.3: Race \& Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,380 | $96.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 3 | $0.2 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 15 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 8 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 12 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 8 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 4 | $0.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 10.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 17 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 40 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 40 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 103 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 146 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 189 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^2]Table 10.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 199 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 42 | 21.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 23 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 18 | 9.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 3 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 26 | 13.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 10 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 13 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 3 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 56 | 28.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 33 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 16 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 31 | 15.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 24 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 26 | 4.8\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 2 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 10.6: Household Language \& English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 631 | 100\% |
| English only | 608 | 96.4\% |
| Spanish: | 18 | 2.9\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 18 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 5 | 0.8\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 5 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Blue Mounds

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Blue Mound's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 11.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | 1,094 | 100\% |
| Under 5 years | 119 | 10.9\% |
| 5 to 9 years | 73 | 6.7\% |
| 10 to 14 years | 86 | 7.9\% |
| 15 to 19 years | 58 | 5.3\% |
| 20 to 24 years | 65 | 5.9\% |
| 25 to 29 years | 66 | 6.0\% |
| 30 to 34 years | 65 | 5.9\% |
| 35 to 39 years | 68 | 6.2\% |
| 40 to 44 years | 103 | 9.4\% |
| 45 to 49 years | 64 | 5.9\% |
| 50 to 54 years | 77 | 7.0\% |
| 55 to 59 years | 66 | 6.0\% |
| 60 to 64 years | 69 | 6.3\% |
| 65 to 69 years | 48 | 4.4\% |
| 70 to 74 years | 13 | 1.2\% |
| 75 to 79 years | 17 | 1.6\% |
| 80 to 84 years | 21 | 1.9\% |
| 85 years and over | 16 | 1.5\% |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 11.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 17 | $5.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 76 | $25.4 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 114 | $38.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 92 | $30.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 11.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,071 | 97.9 |
| Black or African American alone | 9 | 0.8 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more races: | 14 | 1.3 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 14 | 1.3 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 11.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 2 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 16 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 50 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 57 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 93 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 131 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 185 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^3]Table 11.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 111 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 22 | 19.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 7 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 15 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 6 | 5.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 2 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 27 | 24.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 6 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 |  |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 28 | 25.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 14 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 14 |  |
| With a self-care difficulty | 4 | 3.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 2 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 2 |  |
| With an independent living difficulty | 24 | 21.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 18 |  |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 7 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 11.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 395 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 385 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 5 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 7 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

## Village of Brooklyn

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Brooklyn's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 12.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | 850 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 69 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 81 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 35 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 45 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 45 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 78 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 78 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 95 | $11.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 52 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 81 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 41 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 50 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 42 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 25 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 14 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 15 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 4 | $0.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 12.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 4 | $1.8 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 53 | $23.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 91 | $40.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 78 | $34.5 \%$ |

[^4]
## Table 12.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 790 | $92.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 4 | $0.5 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 7 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 11 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 38 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 38 | $4.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 12.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 3 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 12 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 44 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 83 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 131 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 12.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 141 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 36 | 25.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 9 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 15 | 10.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 12 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 31 | 22.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 19 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 8 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 15 | 10.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 6 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 18 | 12.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 13 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 5 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 26 | 18.4\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 14 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 8 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 12 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 12.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 326 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 307 | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 16 | $\mathbf{4 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 3 |  |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 13 |  |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 |  |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3 |  |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 |  |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 |  |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 |  |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Cambridge

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Cambridge's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 13.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 49 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 45 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 96 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 57 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 99 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 74 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 107 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 56 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 52 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 52 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 74 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 154 | $12.0 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 128 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 94 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 57 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 28 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 22 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 42 | $3.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 13.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 68 | $18.7 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 140 | $38.6 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 155 | $42.7 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 13.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,232 | 95.8 |
| Black or African American alone | 4 | 0.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 4 | 0.3 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 21 | 1.6 |
| Two or more races: | 25 | 1.9 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 25 | 1.9 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 13.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 3 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 6 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 10 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 13 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 74 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 122 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 153 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^5]Table 13.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 315 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 78 | 24.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 24 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 54 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 37 | 11.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 19 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 18 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 37 | 11.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 93 | 29.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 20 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 69 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 24 | 7.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 5 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 46 | 14.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 13 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 7 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 33 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 13.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 573 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 551 | $\mathbf{9 6 . 2 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 4 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Cottage Grove

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Cottage Grove's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 14.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 7,020 | $(X)$ |
| Under 5 years | 556 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 525 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 764 | $10.9 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 368 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 377 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 195 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 575 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 735 | $10.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 369 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 732 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 429 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 332 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 397 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 181 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 102 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 227 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 92 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 64 | $0.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 14.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 60 | $2.9 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 288 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 590 | $28.4 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,142 | $54.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 14.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample: | 7,020 | $100 \%$ |
| White alone | 6,427 | 91.6 |
| Black or African American alone | 7 | 0.1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 477 | 6.8 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 18 | 0.3 |
| Two or more races: | 91 | 1.3 |
| Two races including Some other race | 12 | 0.2 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 79 | 1.1 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 14.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 44 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 56 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 73 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 115 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 429 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 822 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,155 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 14.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 878 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 165 | 18.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 90 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 75 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 92 | 10.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 77 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 15 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 120 | 13.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 17 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 78 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 25 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 296 | 33.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 19 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 151 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 126 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 88 | 10.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 63 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 25 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 117 | 13.3\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 68 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 49 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 14.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 2408 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 87 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 87 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 7 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 98 | $\mathbf{4 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 98 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Cross Plains

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Cross Plain's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 15.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 4,187 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 271 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 260 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 467 | $11.2 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 212 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 108 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 330 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 327 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 278 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 337 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 339 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 316 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 230 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 218 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 172 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 150 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 73 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 39 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 60 | $1.4 \%$ |
|  | 2019 | $a s$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 15.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 1101 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 248 | $22.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 321 | $29.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 532 | $48.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 15.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{4 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 3,967 | 94.7 |
| Black or African American alone | 9 | 0.2 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 40 | 1.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 58 | 1.4 |
| Two or more races: | 113 | 2.7 |
| Two races including Some other race | 37 | 0.9 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 76 | 1.8 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 15.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 9 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 17 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 36 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 45 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 200 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 430 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 579 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^6]Table 15.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 466 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 113 | 24.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 32 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 81 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 11 | 2.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 11 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 93 | 20.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 9 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 50 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 34 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 107 | 23.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 35 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 72 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 64 | 13.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 18 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 27 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 78 | 16.7\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 27 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 51 | - |

Table 15.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Total of Households | 1653 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 1,576 | 95.3 |
| Spanish: | 49 | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 49 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 11 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 11 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 17 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 17 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Dane

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Dane's compiled data.
Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 16.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 99 | $9.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 52 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 54 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 36 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 36 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 111 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 118 | $11.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 75 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 54 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 82 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 61 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 60 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 63 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 36 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 18 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 21 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 18 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 10 | $1.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 16.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 25 | $8.8 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 68 | $24.0 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 105 | $37.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 85 | $30.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 16.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 940 | $93.6 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 12 | $1.2 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 49 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 16.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number |
| ---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 3 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 6 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 6 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 23 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 23 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 54 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 96 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 150 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 16.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 186 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 41 | 22.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 19 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 16 | 8.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 34 | 18.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 21 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 42 | 22.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 23 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 21 | 11.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 4 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 32 | 17.2\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 12 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 2 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 16.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 0 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 3 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 14 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 11 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Deforest

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Deforest's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 17.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 818 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 728 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 679 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 771 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 467 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 607 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 705 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 915 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 670 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 749 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 743 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 594 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 674 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 299 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 314 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 185 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 138 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 123 | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 17.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 64 | $2.3 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 523 | $19.0 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 1,010 | $36.7 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,153 | $41.9 \%$ |

[^7]Table 17.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 9,306 | $91.4 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 65 | $0.6 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 75 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 384 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 37 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 312 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 28 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 284 | $2.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 17.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :--- | :--- |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 38 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 207 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 274 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 366 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 387 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 617 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 975 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,446 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^8]Table 17.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1587 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 340 | 21.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 26 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 219 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 95 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 80 | 5.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 10 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 23 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 47 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 274 | 17.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 42 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 188 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 44 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 372 | 23.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 205 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 167 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 203 | 12.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 110 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 93 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 318 | 20.0\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 220 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 91 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 98 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 17.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{3 , 8 3 3}$ | $100 \%$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 51 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 51 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 81 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 25 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Marshall

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Marshall's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 18.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 3,966 | $100 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 380 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 333 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 306 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 157 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 227 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 250 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 360 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 313 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 208 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 203 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 180 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 391 | $9.9 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 284 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 148 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 118 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 82 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 26 | $0.7 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 18.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 962 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 71 | $7.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 277 | $28.8 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 349 | $36.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 265 | $27.5 \%$ |

[^9]Table 18.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 3,966 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 3,714 | 93.6 |
| Black or African American alone | 111 | 2.8 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 112 | 2.8 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 15 | 0.4 |
| Two or more races: | 14 | 0.4 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 14 | 0.4 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 18.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 32 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 133 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 166 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 303 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 303 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 432 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 604 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 785 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov
Table 18.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 576 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 42 | 7.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 13 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 29 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 48 | 8.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 48 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 77 | 13.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 48 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 29 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 203 | 35.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 113 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 90 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 90 | 15.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 57 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 33 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 116 | 20.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 76 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 25 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 40 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 18.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 1,310 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 1,072 | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 186 | $14.2 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 46 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 140 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 33 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 33 | - |
| Other languages: | 19 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 19 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Mazomanie

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Mazomanie's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 19.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 1,858 | $100 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 133 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 154 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 91 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 129 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 72 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 100 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 192 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 132 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 101 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 132 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 108 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 132 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 107 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 86 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 76 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 27 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 57 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 29 | $1.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 19.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 492 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 23 | $4.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 134 | $27.2 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 170 | $34.6 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 165 | $33.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 19.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,700 | $91.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 24 | $1.3 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 8 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 5 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 121 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 121 | $6.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 19.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 39 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 74 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 88 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 104 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 124 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 186 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 269 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 329 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 19.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 681 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 60 | 8.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 30 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 30 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 9 | 1.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 80 | 11.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 10 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 46 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 24 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 91 | 13.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 3 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 47 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 41 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 27 | 4.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 3 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 7 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 67 | 9.8\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 46 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 13 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 19.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 761 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 736 | $96.7 \%$ |
| Spanish: | 13 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 4 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 12 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 5 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 7 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of McFarland

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of McFarland's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 20.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{8 , 6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 589 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 583 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 423 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 530 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 449 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 375 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 458 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 646 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 558 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 539 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 538 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 760 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 789 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 566 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 314 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 263 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 147 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 123 | $1.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 20.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 33 | $1.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 408 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 896 | $37.0 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,082 | $44.7 \%$ |

[^10]Table 20.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 8,650 | $100 \%$ |
| White alone | 8,252 | 95.4 |
| Black or African American alone | 202 | 2.3 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 6 | 0.1 |
| Asian alone | 97 | 1.1 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more races: | 93 | 1.1 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 93 | 1.1 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 20.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number |
| ---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 16 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 87 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 144 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 185 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 185 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 404 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 789 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,172 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 20.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1892 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 351 | 18.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 194 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 157 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 120 | 6.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 69 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 51 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 365 | 19.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 53 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 193 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 119 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 352 | 18.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 129 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 223 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 292 | 15.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 12 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 133 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 147 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 412 | 21.8\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 209 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 75 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 203 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 20.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 3,409 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 3,106 | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 99 | $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 99 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 129 | $\mathbf{3 . 8 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 129 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 46 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 46 | - |
| Other languages: | 29 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 29 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Mt. Horeb

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Mt. Horeb's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 21.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 7,449 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 462 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 819 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 799 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 462 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 104 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 401 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 467 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 762 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 545 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 495 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 617 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 375 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 199 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 369 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 123 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 65 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 172 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 213 | $2.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 21.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 180 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 489 | $26.8 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,154 | $63.3 \%$ |

[^11]Table 21.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample: | 7,449 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 7,011 | $94.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 136 | $1.8 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 69 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 233 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 233 | $3.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 21.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 28 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 42 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 83 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 167 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 211 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 394 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 744 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,147 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^12]Table 21.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1537 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 320 | 20.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 137 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 183 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 182 | 11.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 85 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 97 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 321 | 20.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 67 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 139 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 115 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 340 | 22.12 |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 109 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 231 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 161 | 10.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 33 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 44 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 84 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 213 | 13.9\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 52 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 161 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 21.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 9}$ | $100 \%$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{2 , 6 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 4 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 17 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 30 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 51 | $\mathbf{1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 51 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Shorewood Hills

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Shorewood Hill's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 22.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 113 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 117 | $5.1 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 166 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 146 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 149 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 154 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 145 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 145 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 85 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 132 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 198 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 150 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 153 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 142 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 119 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 90 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 33 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 40 | $1.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 22.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 625 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 3 | $0.5 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 23 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 32 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 567 | $90.7 \%$ |

[^13]
## Table 22.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,973 | $86.6 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 51 | $2.2 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 19 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 148 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 6 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 21 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 59 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 59 | $2.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 22.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 10 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 27 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 33 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 51 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 54 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 72 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 110 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 161 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 22.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 207 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 44 | 21.3 |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 6 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 38 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 27 | 13.0 |
| Population under 18 years | 2 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 6 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 34 | 16.4 |
| Population under 18 years | 2 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 20 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 12 |  |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 46 | 22.2 |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 14 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 32 |  |
| With a self-care difficulty | 19 | 9.2 |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 7 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 12 |  |
| With an independent living difficulty | 37 | 17.9 |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 16 |  |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 13 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 22.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 950 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 797 | $83.9 \%$ |
| Spanish: | 28 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 28 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 59 | $6.2 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 53 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 50 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 50 | - |
| Other languages: | 16 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 16 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Waunakee

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Waunakee's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 23.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 3 , 7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 957 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 1,086 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 1,116 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 1,002 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 709 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 462 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 690 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 929 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 1,113 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 1,120 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 1,187 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 995 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 537 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 498 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 381 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 328 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 218 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 402 | $2.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 23.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 3,594 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 9 | $0.3 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 428 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 946 | $26.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 2,211 | $61.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 23.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 13,730 | $100 \%$ |
| White alone | 13,070 | $95.2 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 126 | $0.9 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 205 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 97 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 232 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 26 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 206 | $1.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 23.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | :--- |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 55 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 181 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 229 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 294 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 294 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 616 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 890 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 1,288 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov
Table 23.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1881 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 339 | 18.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 96 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 243 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 178 | 9.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 81 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 97 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 283 | 15.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 34 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 127 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 122 |  |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 514 | 27.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 13 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 152 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 349 |  |
| With a self-care difficulty | 196 | 10.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 27 |  |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 81 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 88 |  |
| With an independent living difficulty | 371 | 19.7\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 124 |  |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 17 |  |
| Population 65 years and over | 247 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 23.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 5,006 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 4,804 | $\mathbf{9 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 73 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 73 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 75 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 75 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 54 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Village of Windsor

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Village of Windsor's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 24.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total population | 7,110 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 566 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 673 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 425 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 255 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 232 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 321 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 502 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 573 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 631 | $8.9 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 412 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 323 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 520 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 540 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 313 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 253 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 46 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 132 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 393 | $5.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 24.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 , 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 256 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 709 | $35.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 1,048 | $52.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 24.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{7 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 6,786 | $95.4 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 48 | $0.7 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 202 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 18 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 56 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 56 | $0.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 24.1: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 30 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 30 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 77 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 77 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 209 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 454 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 869 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 24.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 1426 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 266 | 18.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 80 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 186 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 27 | 1.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 18 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 322 | 22.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 98 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 73 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 151 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 354 | 24.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 89 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 265 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 120 | 8.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 16 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 13 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 91 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 337 | 23.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 84 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 47 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 253 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 24.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 2,710 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | 2,512 | $\mathbf{9 2 . 7 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 124 | $\mathbf{4 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 124 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 43 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 43 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 31 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 31 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Berry

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Berry's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 25.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 44 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 65 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 62 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 40 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 28 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 31 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 70 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 80 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 46 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 74 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 83 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 121 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 123 | $10.9 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 110 | $9.8 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 64 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 29 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 47 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 10 | $0.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 25.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 352 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 7 | $2.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 89 | $25.3 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 116 | $33.0 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 140 | $39.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 25.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 5 \%}$ |
| Black or African American alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 6 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 6 | $0.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 25.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 23 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 33 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 38 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 62 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 114 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 170 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 25.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 194 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 29 | 14.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 16 | 8.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 16 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 45 | 23.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 26 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 42 | 21.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 12 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 30 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 20 | 10.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 7 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 13 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 42 | 21.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 18 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 24 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 25.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total: | 473 | 100\% |
| English only | 459 | 97.0 |
| Spanish: | 6 | 1.3 |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 6 | 1.3 |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 2 | 0.4 |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 2 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0 |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Blooming Grove

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Blooming Grove's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 26.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Power |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 116 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 45 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 65 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 80 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 50 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 162 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 129 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 136 | $7.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 158 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 92 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 173 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 154 | $8.9 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 127 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 73 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 74 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 53 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 13 | $0.8 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 27 | $1.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 26.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{4 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 15 | $3.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 99 | $22.4 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 148 | $33.6 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 179 | $40.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 26.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,601 | $92.7 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 60 | $3.5 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 2 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 5 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 15 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 44 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 5 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 39 | $2.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 26.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 12 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 26 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 56 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 69 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 134 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 206 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 269 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^14]Table 26.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 344 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 62 | 18.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 39 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 23 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 19 | 5.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 10 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 67 | 19.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 8 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 42 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 101 | 29.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 8 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 52 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 41 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 38 | 11.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 8 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 13 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 57 | 16.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 27 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 30 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 26.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 764 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 696 | $\mathbf{9 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 51 | $\mathbf{6 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 51 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 17 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 17 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Burke

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Burke's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 27.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Numbers | Below |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 36 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 247 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 254 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 259 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 36 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 125 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 150 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 369 | $10.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 295 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 204 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 315 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 313 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 274 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 237 | $6.9 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 240 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 40 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 36 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 27.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 1009 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 16 | $1.6 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 247 | $24.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 405 | $40.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 341 | $33.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 27.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{3 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 2,986 | $87.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 321 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 23 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 100 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 100 | $2.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 27.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 26 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 32 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 145 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 278 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 494 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $100 \%$ | $125 \%$ | $150 \%$ | $185 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 27.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 347 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 39 | 11.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 39 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 37 | 10.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 16 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 83 | 23.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 14 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 69 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 102 | 29.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 27 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 75 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 21 | 6.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 65 | 18.7\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 44 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 27.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 1,314 | 100\% |
| English only | 1,194 | 90.9 |
| Spanish: | 33 | 2.5\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 33 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 6 | 0.5\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 81 | 6.2\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 81 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Cottage Grove

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Cottage Grove's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 28.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 3,958 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 289 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 240 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 225 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 134 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 254 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 111 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 172 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 176 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 207 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 288 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 329 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 519 | $13.1 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 339 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 280 | $7.1 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 238 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 54 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 84 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 19 | $0.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 28.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{1 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 16 | $1.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 339 | $29.2 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 440 | $37.9 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 365 | $31.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 28.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 3,958 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 3,661 | $92.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 33 | $0.8 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 6 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 24 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 5 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 229 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 229 | $5.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 28.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | :--- |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 14 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 31 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 47 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 81 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 133 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 346 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 538 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 28.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents with Self-Identified Disabilities | 693 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 234 | 33.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 22 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 90 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 122 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 39 | 5.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 30 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 243 | 35.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 27 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 143 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 73 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 71 | 10.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 11 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 34 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 26 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 8 | 1.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 8 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 98 | 14.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 42 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 56 | - |

Table 28.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 1,465 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{1 , 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 2 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 68 | $\mathbf{4 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 68 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 29 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 29 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 32 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

## Town of Dunn

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Dunn's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 29.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{5 , 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 272 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 120 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 407 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 155 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 306 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 170 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 198 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 239 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 368 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 298 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 410 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 546 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 453 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 569 | $10.9 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 351 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 246 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 95 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 34 | $0.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 29.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 1687 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 95 | $5.6 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 508 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 476 | $28.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 608 | $36.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 29.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{5 , 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 4,794 | $91.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 55 | $1.1 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 83 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 133 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 172 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 172 | $3.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 29.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 15 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 51 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 142 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 287 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 361 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 490 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 677 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 846 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^15]Table 29.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 675 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 140 | 20.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 13 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 46 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 81 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 47 | 7.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 13 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 102 | 15.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 16 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 50 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 36 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 234 | 34.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 94 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 140 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 32 | 4.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 15 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 120 | 17.8\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 75 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 51 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 45 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 29.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7}$ |
| Spanish: | 126 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 57 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 69 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 48 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 20 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 33 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Saure Amian Comury Surv 2019 |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Oregon

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Oregon's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 30.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{3 , 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 134 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 250 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 174 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 190 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 122 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 124 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 156 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 153 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 234 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 199 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 252 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 291 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 368 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 288 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 176 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 139 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 42 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 29 | $0.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 30.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 1074 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 7 | $0.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 175 | $16.3 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 287 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 605 | $56.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 30.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{3 , 3 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 3,219 | $96.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 16 | $0.5 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 7 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 6 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 73 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 73 | $2.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 30.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 27 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 34 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 52 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 70 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 146 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 237 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 410 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 30.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 450 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 103 | 22.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 12 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 44 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 47 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 25 | 5.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 25 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 135 | 30.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 38 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 72 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 25 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 99 | 22.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 56 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 43 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 19 | 4.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 7 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 5 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 69 | 15.3\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 40 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 29 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 30.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 4 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 52 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 33 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Saure Amian Comury Surv 2019 |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Pleasant Springs

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Pleasant Spring's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 31.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{3 , 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 82 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 151 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 247 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 248 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 214 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 89 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 177 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 180 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 148 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 336 | $10.0 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 275 | $8.2 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 342 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 314 | $9.3 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 234 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 121 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 76 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 75 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 55 | $1.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 31.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 973 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 17 | $1.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 196 | $20.1 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 290 | $29.8 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 470 | $48.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 31.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{3 , 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 3,292 | 97.9 |
| Black or African American alone | 17 | 0.5 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 16 | 0.5 |
| Asian alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more races: | 39 | 1.2 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 39 | 1.2 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 31.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 9 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 18 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 29 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 193 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 309 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 423 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^16]Table 31.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 456 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 66 | 14.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 36 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 30 |  |
| With a vision difficulty | 58 | 12.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 39 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 |  |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 120 | 26.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 11 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 88 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 100 | 21.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 61 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 39 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 43 | 9.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 22 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 21 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 69 | 15.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 30 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 39 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 31.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 31 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 31 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 56 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 19 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 37 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | 10 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 10 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Primrose

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Primrose's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 32.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 53 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 30 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 34 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 56 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 42 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 11 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 40 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 42 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 40 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 66 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 57 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 79 | $10.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 49 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 80 | $10.6 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 26 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 25 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 12 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 16 | $2.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 32.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 8 | $3.4 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 77 | $32.5 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 64 | $27.0 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 88 | $37.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 32.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Household | 758 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 724 | $95.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 8 | $1.1 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 23 | $3.0 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 23 | $3.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 32.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 9 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 10 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 17 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 20 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 71 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 119 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 146 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |  |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 32.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 137 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 23 | 16.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 14 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 11 | 8.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 23 | 16.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 12 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 42 | 30.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 20 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 16 | 11.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 4 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 10 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 22 | 16.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 18 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 32.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| Spanish: | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | $\mathbf{4}$ | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | $\mathbf{0}$ | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Springdale

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Springdale's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 33.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{2 , 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 108 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 125 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 132 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 108 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 88 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 45 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 77 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 124 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 143 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 160 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 175 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 209 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 176 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 127 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 143 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 52 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 23 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 29 | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 33.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 614 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 13 | $2.1 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 106 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 191 | $31.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 304 | $49.5 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 33.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{2 , 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,969 | $96.3 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 6 | $0.3 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 33 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 7 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 29 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 29 | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 33.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 3 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 10 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 23 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 41 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 41 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 95 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 170 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 263 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^17]Table 33.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 265 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 47 | 17.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 5 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 42 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 16 | 6.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 3 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 3 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 31 | 11.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 20 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 82 | 30.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 23 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 57 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 36 | 13.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 2 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 31 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 53 | 20.0\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 16 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 6 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 37 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 33.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 785 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | 743 | $\mathbf{9 4 . 6 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 20 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 20 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 15 | $\mathbf{1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 15 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | $\mathbf{7}$ | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Saure Amian Comury Surv 2019 |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Springfield

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Springfield's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 34.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 118 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 128 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 215 | $7.4 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 213 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 136 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 109 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 164 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 143 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 126 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 259 | $8.9 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 164 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 324 | $11.1 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 268 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 172 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 175 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 67 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 82 | $2.8 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 57 | $2.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 34.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 845 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 28 | 3.3 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 270 | 32.0 |
| Some college, associate's degree | 161 | 19.1 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 386 | 45.7 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 34.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{2 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 2,733 | $93.6 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 20 | $0.7 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 2 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 24 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 105 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 36 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 36 | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 34.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 20 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 35 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 52 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 60 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 64 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 159 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 243 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 320 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 34.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 375 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 77 | 20.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 5 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 18 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 54 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 18 | 4.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 7 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 4 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 71 | 18.9\% |
| Population under 18 years | 11 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 40 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 20 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 96 | 25.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 22 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 67 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 46 | 12.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 7 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 22 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 17 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 67 | 17.9\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 23 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 44 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 34.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 1,075 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 999 | $\mathbf{9 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 56 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 13 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 43 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 10 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 10 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 7 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Sun Prairie

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Sun Prairie's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 35.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 103 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 103 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 177 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 200 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 98 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 112 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 85 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 123 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 102 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 157 | $6.7 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 180 | $7.7 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 221 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 225 | $9.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 270 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 78 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 50 | $2.1 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 45 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 13 | $0.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 35.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 746 | $100 \%$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 20 | $2.7 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 186 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 269 | $36.1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 271 | $36.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 35.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 2,109 | $90.1 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 22 | $0.9 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 108 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 17 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 86 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 85 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 35.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 25 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 33 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 49 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 72 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 117 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 218 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 307 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 418 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |  |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 35.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 199 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 63 | 31.7\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 10 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 53 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 10 | 5.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 10 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 28 | 14.1\% |
| Population under 18 years | 6 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 14 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 8 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 70 | 35.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 11 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 42 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 11 | 5.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 5 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 3 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 3 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 17 | 8.5\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 14 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 0 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 3 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 35.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 884 | 100\% |
| English only | 801 | 90.6\% |
| Spanish: | 48 | 5.4\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 5 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 43 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 12 | 1.4\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 12 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 23 | 2.6\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 23 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Vermont

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Vermont's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 36.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | 836 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 34 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 40 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 45 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 33 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 40 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 29 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 34 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 42 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 30 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 55 | $6.6 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 75 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 107 | $12.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 123 | $14.7 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 73 | $8.7 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 38 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 22 | $2.6 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 13 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 3 | $0.4 \%$ |
|  | 2019 |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 36.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 4 | $1.5 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 35 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 72 | $26.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 164 | $59.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 36.3: Race and Ethnic Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{8 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 811 | $97.0 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 10 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 2 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 13 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 13 | $1.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 36.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 5 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 7 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 7 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 9 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 15 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 50 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 84 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 111 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |  |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 36.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 91 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 15 | 16.\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 8 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 7 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 6 | 6.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 6 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 0 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 23 | 25.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 16 | 17.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 9 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 7 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 10 | 11.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 8 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 2 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 21 | 23.1\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 15 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 2 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
36.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 352 | 100\% |
| English only | 342 | 97.2\% |
| Spanish: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 6 | 1.7\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 6 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 4 | 1.1\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 4 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Verona

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Verona's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 37.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 117 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 113 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 157 | $8.6 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 67 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 59 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 41 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 97 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 113 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 106 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 124 | $6.8 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 141 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 176 | $9.7 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 137 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 127 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 78 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 60 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 60 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 43 | $2.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 37.2: Educational Attainment for Householders Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{5 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 98 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 107 | $20.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 322 | $61.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 37.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 1,816 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,757 | $96.8 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 10 | $0.6 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 10 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 21 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 14 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 4 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 4 | $0.2 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 37.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 0 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 4 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 18 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 25 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 79 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 143 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 201 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

[^18]Table 37.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 318 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 46 | 14.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 21 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 25 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 37 | 11.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 22 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 15 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 43 | 13.5\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 30 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 9 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 82 | 25.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 31 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 51 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 51 | 16.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 24 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 27 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 59 | 18.5 |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 31 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 12 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 28 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 37.6: Table Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | 685 | $100 \%$ |
| English only | 632 | $\mathbf{9 2 . 3 \%}$ |
| Spanish: | 23 | - |
| Limited English speaking household | 4 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 19 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 17 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 17 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 4 | - |
| Soura Amen Comuniy Surv 2019 |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Vienna

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Vienna's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 38.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 84 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 79 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 145 | $8.0 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 147 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 88 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 87 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 71 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 79 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 94 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 153 | $8.4 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 224 | $12.3 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 178 | $9.8 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 84 | $4.6 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 97 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 81 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 58 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 43 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 23 | $1.3 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 38.2: Educational Attainment Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | 537 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 7 | $1.3 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 134 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 205 | $38.2 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 191 | $35.6 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 38.3: Race and Ethnicity Attainment Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White alone | 1,800 | 99.2 |
| Black or African American alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Asian alone | 12 | 0.7 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Some other race alone | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two or more races: | 3 | 0.2 |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 3 | 0.2 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 38.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | ---: |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 16 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 20 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 24 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 31 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 34 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 110 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 163 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 224 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |  |

[^19]Table 38.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 266 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 85 | 32.0\% |
| Population under 18 years | 10 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 24 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 51 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 25 | 9.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 18 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 7 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 51 | 19.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 4 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 41 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 6 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 43 | 16.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 19 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 24 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 22 | 8.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 15 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 7 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 40 | 15.0\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 26 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 4 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 14 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 38.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Households | 662 | 100\% |
| English only | 634 | 95.8\% |
| Spanish: | 18 | 2.7\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 18 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 7 | 1.1\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 7 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | 3 | 0.5\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 3 | - |
| Other languages: | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Town of Westport

This section of the Key Demographics index includes the Town of Westport's compiled data. Review these materials when completing the local jurisdiction data collection handbook, and when planning public engagements with your community.

Table 39.1: Population by Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total population | $\mathbf{4 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Under 5 years | 126 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 5 to 9 years | 186 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 10 to 14 years | 293 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 15 to 19 years | 248 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 years | 95 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 years | 151 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 years | 74 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 years | 183 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 40 to 44 years | 305 | $7.3 \%$ |
| 45 to 49 years | 347 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 50 to 54 years | 249 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 55 to 59 years | 262 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 60 to 64 years | 481 | $11.5 \%$ |
| 65 to 69 years | 369 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 70 to 74 years | 300 | $7.2 \%$ |
| 75 to 79 years | 237 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 80 to 84 years | 190 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 85 years and over | 88 | $2.1 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates
Table 39.2: Educational Attainment Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Householders | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Less than high school graduate | 12 | $1.0 \%$ |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 71 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Some college, associate's degree | 293 | $24.3 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 828 | $68.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

## Table 39.3: Race and Ethnicity Composition Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Sample | 4,184 | $100 \%$ |
| White alone | 3,986 | $95.3 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 137 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other race alone | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or more races: | 59 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Two races including Some other race | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races | 59 | $1.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 39.4: Federal Income Poverty Levels (FIPL) by Families Summary \& Annual FIPL Guide

| Category | Number of Families |
| :---: | :--- |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 4 |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 38 |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 50 |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 55 |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 55 |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 145 |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 352 |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 469 |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

| 2021 Annual Federal Poverty Level Guide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family <br> Size | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\$ 6,440$ | $\$ 12,880$ | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 19,320$ | $\$ 23,828$ | $\$ 25,760$ | $\$ 38,640$ | $\$ 51,520$ | $\$ 64,400$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\$ 8,710$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 21,775$ | $\$ 26,130$ | $\$ 32,227$ | $\$ 34,840$ | $\$ 52,260$ | $\$ 69,680$ | $\$ 87,100$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\$ 10,980$ | $\$ 21,960$ | $\$ 27,450$ | $\$ 32,940$ | $\$ 40,626$ | $\$ 43,920$ | $\$ 65,880$ | $\$ 87,840$ | $\$ 109,800$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\$ 13,250$ | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 33,125$ | $\$ 39,750$ | $\$ 49,025$ | $\$ 53,000$ | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 106,000$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 31,040$ | $\$ 38,800$ | $\$ 46,560$ | $\$ 57,424$ | $\$ 62,080$ | $\$ 93,120$ | $\$ 124,160$ | $\$ 155,200$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\$ 17,790$ | $\$ 35,580$ | $\$ 44,475$ | $\$ 53,370$ | $\$ 65,823$ | $\$ 71,160$ | $\$ 106,740$ | $\$ 142,320$ | $\$ 177,900$ |

Source: dhs.wisconsin.gov

Table 39.5: Disability Characteristics by Detailed Age Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total of Residents Self-Identified as Disabled | 751 | 100\% |
| With a hearing difficulty | 101 | 13.4\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 49 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 52 | - |
| With a vision difficulty | 74 | 9.8\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 35 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 39 | - |
| With a cognitive difficulty | 102 | 13.6\% |
| Population under 18 years | 17 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 66 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 19 | - |
| With an ambulatory difficulty | 235 | 31.3\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 118 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 117 | - |
| With a self-care difficulty | 92 | 12.2\% |
| Population under 18 years | 0 | - |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 51 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 41 | - |
| With an independent living difficulty | 147 | 19.6\% |
| Population 18 to 64 years | 85 | - |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 17 | - |
| Population 65 years and over | 62 | - |

Source: American Community Survey 2019 Estimates

Table 39.6: Household Language and English Speaking Capabilities Summary

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total of Households | $\mathbf{1 , 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| English only | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 0}$ |
| Spanish: | 26 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 17 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 9 | - |
| Other Indo-European languages: | 49 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 49 | - |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages: | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 64 | - |
| Other languages: | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
| Not a limited English speaking household | 0 | - |
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